DISTRIBUTION PATTERN OF WEEDS IN WHEAT CROP GROWN IN DISTRICT KHANEWAL, PUNJAB, PAKISTAN

Muhammad Usman¹, Ghulam Murtaza², Allah Ditta³, Tamana Bakht³ Muhammad Asif⁴, Muhammad Nadir¹and Sehar Nawaz⁵

https://doi.org/10.28941/26-1(2020)-4

ABSTRACT

Weed infestation is among the main biotic factors affecting growth and yield of various crops. This issue is more drastic for cereal crops like wheat which is the staple food crop of over 2.5 billion population of the world. One the control strategies is to investigate the distribution pattern of weeds under field conditions. In this regard, a survey study was conducted to investigate the distribution pattern of weed species in wheat crop during 2016-18 in district Khanewal, Punjab Pakistan. Thirty-six weed species distributed among fifteen different families were collected from the study area. Family Poaceae was the predominant with 10 species, while family Asteraceae was the second most dominant family with four weed species. Cynodon dactylon (L.) Pers. was the most frequently found specie with frequency value of 79.1% while Paspalum distichum had the highest importance value index (6.96) among the weeds of the study area. Based on these findings, it is recommended to create awareness among the farmers about the identified weeds so that weed infestation could be controlled with the recommended practices.

Keywords: Weeds, wheat; Khanewal, distribution, Cynodon dactylon, Paspalum distichum.

Citation: Usman, M., G. Murtaza, A. Ditta, T. Bakht, M. Asif, M. Nadir and S. Nawaz. 2020. Distribution pattern of weeds in wheat crop grown in District Khanewal, Punjab, Pakistan. Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res., 26 (1): 47-59.

¹Department of Botany, Government College University, Katcheri Road 54000, Lahore, Punjab Pakistan.

²Faculty of Environmental Science and Engineering, Kunming University of Science and Technology, Kunming 650500, PR China.

³Department of Environmental Sciences, Shaheed Benazir Bhutto University Sheringal, Upper Dir, 18000, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan.

⁴ Government Primary School Allahabad, Khanewal, Punjab, Pakistan.

⁵ Department of Botany, University of Punjab, Lahore, Punjab Pakistan

Correspond Author's Email: allah.ditta@sbbu.edu.pk

INTRODUCTION

Pakistan is an agricultural country and wheat is among the major cereal crops having major contribution in the economy of Pakistan. During 2018-19, wheat was cultivated on an area of 8.74 million ha with an average production of 2.882 metric tons ha⁻¹ (Pakistan Bureau of Statistics, 2018-19). Pakistan ranked as 8th most wheat producing country of the world, producing about 3.35% of the world's wheat supply. There are various biotic and abiotic factors responsible for low production of wheat in the country; among those, weed infestation is among the most damaging ones (Memon et al., 2013).

Weeds are the unwanted plants present in crops which invade and impart negative effects on crop yield as these compete with the main crop for nutrients, water, space and light (Dangwal et al., 2010; Marwat et al., 2013; Reddy and 2011). Earlier, it has been Reddi, estimated that weeds may cause an annual loss of 28 billion PKR to the wheat crop and an overall loss of 130 billion PKR in Pakistan (Hassan and Marwat, 2001). In Australia, an annual loss of \$3.3 billion and \$138 billion in USA was reported due to weed infestation in crops (Pimentel et al., 2005; Adkin and Navie, 2006). It is also reported that our environment is exposed to alien species due to anthropogenic activities (Kowarik, 2003).

Distribution of weeds in wheat and other environments in relation to different crops has always been a complex phenomenon. Weeds grow everywhere, no more space remains without the growth of weeds. Weeds may be of grassy type belonging to monocot from Poaceae family or sedges from Cyperaceae, or dicot belonging to angiosperm families e.g. Fabaceae, Brassicacea and Convolvulaceae etc. (Tiwari et al., 2014; Sosa et al., 2016). Weeds found in the different crops impart their negative role in terms of competition for different resources mainly for nutrients, light, space and gases (Javaid et al., 2010).

According to Shabbir and Bajwa (2006) weeds not only lower the crop

production but also contribute towards an enhanced insect attack that can be more severe with time, give poor quality of the management produce and water problems. In this regard, various studies been reported regarding have the distribution of different weed species all over the country (Tauseef et al., 2012; Kakhki et al., 2013; Ahmad et al., 2016; Khan et al., 2016; Ahmad et al., 2019; Fazal et al., 2019). For example, Qureshi et al. (2009) reported sixty-seven weeds belong to twenty-nine different families in wheat, maize and potato fields of district Toba Tek Singh, Punjab. Out of those twenty-nine families, two were monocots, while all other families were dicots. Thirtyfive weeds invading the wheat crop while thirty-four weeds in maize and twentyfour in potato crop were collected. Twenty-four weeds were common in case of wheat-maize, maize-potato or wheatpotato, causing negative effects on crop production as well as their growth. Similarly, Tahira et al. (2010) while working on turmeric field selected about twenty-six localities in two successive years and worked on weeds distribution in district Kasur. Eight families possessed about fourteen different species reported from the selected areas. Chenopodium album L., Malvastrum coromandelianum (L.) Garcke and Cynodon dactylon (L.) Pers. frequently occurred with frequency of about 80%.

Shedayi et al. (2011) selected ten different fields to study the pattern of weeds distribution in Gilgit-Baltistan, Pakistan recorded the density, frequency, and importance value and frequency classes of weeds. About 24 weeds were identified from the selected point which belonged to ten different families. Chenepodiaceae family was the most frequent in a sense with 100% frequency followed by less frequent families after Chenopodiaceae were Labiatae 85%, Asteraceaee, Papillionaceae, Poaceae and Polygoniaceae each 80%, while some other families were less frequently present in the study area. Jan et al. (2012) worked on weeds in wheat crop in ten villages of district Bannu and reported

about forty-three species belonging to 25 different families out of which two were monocots and twenty-three were dicot. Family Poaceae and Cyperaceae were monocots while the most dominant family in terms of species was Asteraceae with seven species followed by Brassicaceae with six species.

(2014) Khan et al. reported Parthenium weed the most dominant in four districts of KPK including Swabi, Mardan, Charsada and Peshawar mainly highlight *Parthenium* weed dominance. By quadrat method found out using percentage frequency, density, relative density, relative density, importance value, class constancy as well as some other parameters. Parthenium weed was the most dominant along roadside and agricultural area in terms of importance value and relative density causing severe threads to crops as well as thread to local species. Cannabis sativa, Cynodon dactylon (L.) Pers., Cyperus rotundus L. were also present in a great number. Bhaskar et al. (2016) highlight the weeds as major ecological problem causing serious threat to crops. Crop production 12-98% depending reduced to on cultivation area as a result of weeds. Mainly carried out their survey on rice, sugarcane and cotton and collected about 168 weed species from 50 different families. Dominant families included Poaeceae, Fabaceae, Amaranthaceae, Rubiaceae, Cyperaceae and Acanthaceae each having ten species and contributing mainly to weed flora of that region.

Based on the above discussion, it is need of the hour to control weed infestation in the crops in order to boost the productivity and quality of crops. Moreover, the distribution pattern, importance value index of each weed species and infestation intensity of each weed is different in different crops. Therefore, it is necessary to investigate the distribution pattern of different weeds under different among crops environmental conditions in order to understand and improve weed management. Based on this hypothesis, the present study was conducted to find

out the distribution pattern and importance value index of different weed species in selected villages of District Khanewal. Up to our knowledge, no study has been conducted on determining the distribution pattern of weeds in wheat in Khanewal.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was carried out from March 2016 to May 2018 to find out the weeds in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) fields of four villages (Chak No 15/v (Addhy wala), 16/v, 17/v and 18/v) in district Khanewal. The temperature of this region ranges from 30.8-47°C in summer and 15.6-25.7°C in winter.

Quadrate method was used for studying the distribution of weeds (Clements, 1905). Frequency percentage, density and cover percentage was calculated by taking each quadrate of 1 m^2 (Oasting, 1956). Sixty guadrants were from three villages taken (twenty quadrats from each of the three selected sites). The data were collected during the course of crop after every twenty days.

Weed species were identified with the help of Flora of Pakistan (Nasir and Ali, 1974-1991; Stewart, 1972) and by comparing the plants, already present in GCU Herbarium. The weed specimens collected from each quadrat were tagged, placed between newspaper and pressed in plant paper. Later on, each specimen was poisoned and mounted on the standard herbarium sheet. Then each weed species was deposited to Sultan Ahmad Herbarium GCU, Lahore. A checklist of all the weed specimens with details of scientific. vernacular and English names was made after interviewing the farmers and by consulting the scientific literature. The grasses were mostly identified within Poaceae (Cope, 1982). The nomenclature has been updated, following in general the Flora of Pakistan, and other taxonomic literature. Exact referencing of taxonomic names was considered from Universal Biological Indexer and Organizer (uBio) a Project by Marine Biological Laboratory (USA).

Weeds distribution parameters

s

Phytosociological attributes such as density, frequency, relative density, relative frequency and Importance Values were measured for each field (Oosting, 1956; Ambasht and Ambasht, 1969).

> $Frequency percentage = \frac{No. of quadrats in which a species occur}{Total no. of quadrats study} \times 100$ Density = $\frac{\text{Total no. of individuals of a species}}{\frac{1}{2}}$ in all the quadrats Total no. of quadrats study Relative frequency = $\frac{\text{Frequency of individual species}}{\text{Total frequency of all the species study}} \times 100$ Relative density = $\frac{\text{Density of individual species}}{\text{Total density of all the species study}} \times 100$

Relative cover = $\frac{\text{Cover of individual species}}{\text{Total cover of all the species study}} \times 100$

Importance value = Relative frequency + relative density + relative cover

Importance value index (IVI) is a reasonable measure to investigate the overall contribution of a species during weed infestation. IVI was calculated following Curtis and McIntosh (1950).

Importance value index = $\frac{\text{Relative frequency + relative density + relative cover}}{\frac{1}{2}}$

Family importance value index = $\frac{\text{Sum of importance value index of all species in a family}}{\text{Number of excises in the family}}$ Number of species in the family

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Weeds ecological behavior

Thirty-six weed species were collected from the study area belonging to fifteen different families from the wheat crop in selected villages (Chak No 15/v (Addhy wala), 16/v, 17/v and 18/v) of District Khanewal (Fig. 1). Different weed species were found growing in wheat and some of them were common in all four These 36 weed villages. species distributed among 15 families, Poaceae was the most dominant family with 10 weed species including Acrachne recemosa (B. Heyne ex Roth) Ohwi, Avena

fatua Cynodone L., dactylon L., Dichanthium annulatum (Forssk.) Stapf., distichum L., Polypogon Paspalum monospeliensis L., Setaria glauca (L.) Beauv., Setaria pumila (L.) Beauv., Phalaris minor Retz. and Hordeum vulgare L. while Asteraceae family was the second most dominant family with 4 species Blumea (Carthamus *oxyacantha* L., membranacea L., Conyza canadensis (L.) Crong. and Cirsium arvense (L.) Scop.). Brassicaceae, Solanaceae and Fabaceae families contributed to weeds flora of the study sites represented with three species each.



C. Setaria viridis

D. Eleusine indica



E. Chenopodium album

F. Lepidium didymum



G. Chenopodium murale



H. Hordeum vulgare



J. Phalaris minor

Fig. 1. Photos of some predominant weeds collected from the field of wheat in District Khanewal, Pakistan.

Poaceae (true grasses) and others

Our results showed that monocots were the dominant weed species in the study area (Table-1). Earlier, various researchers have found the dominance of different weed families based on the location. For example, Muhammad et al. (2009) reported 67 weed species in wheat maize and potato from Tehsil Gojra, mostly (37 species) belonging to dicot weed species. Similarly, thirty-eight weed species across thirty-five genera and seventeen were reported by Qureshi et al. (2009). In contrast to our results, Asteraceae was the dominant family in the Varying composition of study area. biological spectra was reported by various researchers around the world (Jan et al., 2012; Ahmad et al., 2016; Bhaskar et al., 2016; Ahmad et al., 2019; Fazal et al., 2019).

Parameters of weed distribution pattern

On the basis of percentage frequency Cynodon dactylon (L.) Pers. was the most frequent species with percentage frequency of 79.1% while Chenopodium album L. was the second most frequent species with frequency value of 70.8% (Table-1). Paspalum distichum L. had the highest density, cover density, relative density and relative cover values i.e. 2.29, 3.52, 10.9 and 6.13, respectively. The species the maximum same qot importance value and importance value index (IVI) i.e. 20.9 and 6.96, respectively among all the weed species found in the study area.

Cyperaceae (Sedges) and others

The dominant weed species in the study area was *Cyperus rotundus* L. with highest importance value (Table-1). The results can also be compared with Ahmad *et al.* (2016) who reported twenty-nine weed species belonging to fifteen different families from maize field in District Mardan. Various other researchers have also reported similar results from different

locations (Malik et al., 2002; Ahmed et al., 2006; Shah et al., 2006; Muhammad et al., 2009; Faroog et al., 2010; Hassan et al., 2010; Jan et al., 2010; Muhammad et al., 2011; Khan et al., 2014; Ullah et al., 2014; Ali et al., 2015; Hussain et al., 2015; Khan et al., 2016; Zeb et al., 2016). Moreover, in a field survey carried out at the New Developmental Farm of the University of Agriculture, Peshawar, the highest relative weed density (50.16%) and relative weed frequency (86.6%) were recorded for Trianthema portulacastrum L. (Khan et al., 2016) which is in contrast with the results noted in the present study. Also, the same species showed the highest IV (69.38) as clear from Figure 2.

Family importance value index (FIVI)

Family importance value index is an important parameter to understand the contribution of a weed family in the weeds' community. The data regarding family importance value index (FIVI) is presented in Figure 2. As it is clear from the Figure, family Cyperaceae had the maximum FIVI i.e. 5.06, followed by Convolvulaceae family with FIVI = 4.35. The minimum value of FIVI i.e. 1.07 was recorded in case of family Polygoniaceae. These results are supported by those of Tauseef et al. (2012) who found that Cyperus rotundus L. from family Cyperaceae had the maximum importance value index in the cotton fields.

Table-1. Different parameters of weed distribution pattern in the study area.

Family	Plant name	FP	D	СР	RF	RD	RC	IV	IVI
Aizoaceae	Trianthema portulacastrum L.	58.3	0.32	1.50	3.56	1.52	2.61	7.69	2.56
Amaranthaceae	Amaranthus viridis Hook	42.2	0.39	1.20	2.57	1.85	2.08	6.50	2.16
Asteraceae	<i>Carthamus oxyacantha</i> L.	36.6	0.23	2.72	2.23	1.09	4.73	8.05	2.68
	Blumea membranacea L.	26.6	0.35	0.92	1.62	1.66	1.60	4.34	1.44
	Conyza canadensis (L.) Cronq.	62.2	1.54	2.21	3.80	7.32	3.84	14.90	4.96
	Cirsium arvense (L.) Scop.)	36.8	0.42	1.33	2.25	1.99	2.31	6.55	2.18
Brassicaceae	Lepidium didymum L.	56.1	0.51	1.23	3.42	2.42	2.14	7.98	2.66
	Brassica campestris L.	62.2	0.71	1.98	3.80	3.38	3.44	10.60	3.54
	Sisymbrium irio L.	58.9	0.65	1.90	3.60	3.09	3.30	9.99	3.33
Chenopodiaceae	Chenopodium album L.	70.8	0.41	1.22	4.32	1.95	2.12	8.39	2.79
	Chenopodium murale L.	62.2	0.65	1.77	3.80	3.09	3.08	9.97	3.32
Cyperaceae	Cyperus rotundus L.	56.6	1.37	2.10	3.45	6.52	3.65	13.60	4.53
	Cyperus difformis L.	63.3	1.72	2.75	3.86	8.18	4.79	16.80	5.60
Euphorbiaceae	Euphorbia prostrata Ait.	27.7	0.29	1.73	1.70	1.38	3.01	6.09	2.03
Fabaceae	Lathyrus aphaca L.	44.2	0.56	1.87	2.70	2.66	3.25	8.61	2.87
	Melilotus indicus L.	23.2	0.66	0.95	1.41	3.14	1.65	6.20	2.06
	Medicago polymorpha L.	43.1	0.54	1.23	2.63	2.57	2.14	7.34	2.44
Laminiaceae	Salvia plebeia R. Brown	38.3	0.65	1.54	2.34	3.09	2.68	8.11	2.70
Marsiliaceae	Marsilea minuta L.	31.6	0.27	0.81	1.93	1.28	1.41	4.62	1.54
Poaceae	Acrachne recemosa L.	35.0	0.37	0.71	2.14	1.76	1.23	5.13	1.71
	Avena fatua L.	60.1	0.46	2.11	3.67	2.19	3.67	9.53	3.17
	Cynodon dactylon (L.) Pers.	79.1	0.47	0.89	4.83	2.23	1.55	8.61	2.87
	Dicanthium annulatum Forssk.	60.0	0.37	0.82	3.66	1.76	1.42	6.84	2.28
	Paspalum distichum L.	63.3	2.29	3.52	3.87	10.9	6.13	20.9	6.96
	Polypogon monospeliensis L.	16.0	0.31	1.17	0.97	1.47	2.03	4.47	1.49
	Setaria glauca L.	63.3	0.58	2.12	3.87	2.76	3.69	10.32	3.44
	Setaria pumila (L.) Beauv.,	45.0	0.42	0.98	2.75	1.99	1.70	6.44	2.14
	Phalaris minor Retz.	45.2	0.49	1.23	2.76	2.33	2.14	7.23	2.41
	Hordeum vulgare L.	28.6	0.13	1.02	1.74	0.62	1.77	4.13	1.37
Polygoniaceae	Persicaria longiseta L.	12.0	0.16	0.75	0.73	0.76	1.30	2.79	0.93
	Rumex dentatus L.	34.1	0.22	0.32	2.08	1.04	0.55	3.67	1.22
Solanaceae	Nicotiana plumbaginifolia L.	23.3	0.23	1.13	1.42	1.09	1.96	4.47	1.49
	Solanum nigrum L.	28.3	0.21	1.75	1.73	0.99	3.04	5.76	1.92
	Solanum surattense L.	21.6	0.28	3.11	1.32	1.33	5.41	8.06	2.68
Primulaceae	Anagallis arvensis L.	62.1	0.74	2.21	3.79	3.52	3.84	11.10	3.70
Convulvolaceae	Convolvulus arvensis L.	58.1	1.04	2.62	3.55	4.95	4.56	13.06	4.35

Note: FP= Frequency percentage; D= Density; CP= Cover percentage; RF = Relative Frequency; RD = Relative Density; RC = Relative Cover; IV= Importance value; IVI= Importance value index



Fig. 2: Family importance value indices (FIVI) of different weed families in the study area

REFERENCES CITED

- Adkin, S.W. and S.C. Navie. 2006. Parthenium weed: A potential major weed for agro-ecosystem in Pakistan. Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res., 12(1-2): 19-36.
- Ahmad I., M. Khan, W. Ahmad and S. Akber. 2019. Diversity in the weed flora of Swabi Khwar - a water body in Swabi district Khyber Pakhtukhwa Pakistan. Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res., 25(3): 209-221
- Ahmad Z., S. M. Khan, S. Ali, I. U. Rahman, H. Ara, I. Noreen and A. Khan. 2016. Indicator species analyses of weed communities of maize crop in district Mardan, Pakistan. Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res., 22(2): 227-238.
- Ahmed, M., T. Hussain, A.H. Sheikh, S.S. Hussain and M.F. Siddiqui. 2006. Phytosociology and structure of Himalayan forests from different climatic zones of Pakistan. Pak. J. Bot., 8 (2): 361-383.
- Ali, S., S.Z. Shah, K. Ali, M.S. Khan, S. Ullah, W.M. Khan and M.A. Sajad. 2015. Diversity of weeds and their ecological characteristics at Tehsil Manki Sharif, district Nowshera, Pakistan. Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res., 21(3): 417-423.
- Ambasht, R.S. and N.K. Ambasht. 1969. A Text Book of Plant Ecology. 14th ed. CBS publishers and distributors New Dehli, India, pp.,196-197.
- Bhaskar, K., S. Nautiyal., Rajanna L., P. Tikhile and K. Venkateswarlu.
 2016. Studies on weed diversity in some selected agricultural fields at SPSR Nellore district, Andhra Pradesh, India. Int. J. Recent Scient. Res., 7(1): 10925-10932.
- Cope, T.A. 1982. Poaceae. Flora of Pakistan. 143: 1-678.
- Curtis, J.T. and R.P. McIntosh. 1950. The interrelations of certain analytic

and synthetic phytosociological characters. Ecol., 31: 434-455.

- Dangwal, L. R., A. Singh, T. Singh, A. Sharma and C. Sharma. 2010. Common Weeds of Rabi (Winter) Crops of Tehsil Nowshera, District Rajouri (Jammu & Kashmir), India. Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res., 16(1): 39-45.
- Farooq, S., A.Z. Khan, M. Yousaf and H. Fazal. 2010. Phytosociological study of Push Ziarat area Shawal in the South Waziristan, Pakistan. Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res., 16(1): 47-55.
- Fazal Z., J. Gul, M. Subhan, K. Sher and Q. Ali. 2019. Floristic diversity and weed communities of maize, potato and mungbean crops of Kalash valley Chitral Hindukush Range Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res., 25 (2): 79-90.
- Hassan, G. and K.B. Marwat. 2001. Integrated weed management in agricultural crops. National Workshop on Technologies for Sustainable Agriculture, Sep.24-26, 2001. NIAB, Faisalabad, Pakistan.
- Hassan, G., I. Khan, M.Z. Khan, N.H. Shah, M. Khan and M. Liaqatullah. 2010. Weed flora of chickpea in district Lakki Marwat, NWFP. Pak. Sarhad J. Agric., 26(1): 79-86.
- Hussain, F., S.M.Shah, L. Badshah and M. J. Durrani. 2015. Diversity and ecological characteristics of flora of Mastuj Valley, district Chitral, Hindukush Range, Pakistan. Pak. J. Bot., 47(2), 495-510.
- Jan, G., M. A. Khan, F. Gul, M. Ahmad, M. Jan and M. Zafar. 2010. Ethnobotanical study of common weeds of Dir Kohistan valley, Khyber Pakhtoonkhwa, Pakistan. Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res., 16(1): 81-88.

- Jan, H.U., A. Z. Jan and Z.K. Shinwari. 2012. Distribution of weeds in wheat fields of district Bannu, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. Pak J. Weed Sci. Res., 18(3): 393-411.
- Javaid, A., I. Siddiqui, R. Bajwa and Z. E. Huma. 2010. Effect of six problematic weeds on growth and yield of wheat. Pak. J. Bot., 42(4): 2461-2471.
- Kakhki S. H. N., M. M. Moeini, S. H. Nejad, H. Jafary and M. Aleefard. 2013. Weed population indices in irrigated wheat fields of Zanjan Province of Iran. Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res., 19(2): 123-156
- Khan I. A., S. U. Kakar, Z. Hussain and R. Khan. 2016. Importance value indices of weeds infesting maize crop at the new developmental farm of the University of Agriculture, Peshawar, Pakistan. Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res., 22(1): 63-68.
- Khan, H., K. B. Marwat, G. Hassan, A. M. Khan and S. Hashim., 2014. Distribution of Parthenium weed in Peshawar valley, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. Pak. J. Bot., 46(1): 81-90, 2014.
- Khan, M.A., A.Ullah , A. Rashid, S. M. Shah and S. Fida . 2014. Floristic leaf-size and life form spectra of Asshab Baba Graveyard Chaghar Matti, district Peshawar, Khyber Pakhtoonkhwah, Pakistan. Int. J. Biol. Biotechnol., 11 (1): 167-171.
- Khan, W.M., S.Z. Shah and M.S. Khan. 2016. Ethnobotanical relevance of the weed flora of Tall Dardyal, Tehsil Kabal, district Swat, Pakistan. Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res., 22(1): 125-145.
- Kowarik, I. 2003. Biologische Invasionen: Neophyten and Neozoen in Mitteleuropa. Verlag Eugen Ulmer, Stuttgart, Germany

- Malik, Z.H., N.Z. Malik and R. Rafique. 2002. Weeds of maize fields around Kotli, Azad Jammu and Kashmir. Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res., 8(1-2): 77-79.
- Marwat, K.S., K. Usman, N. Khan, U. M. Khan, A. E. Khan, A. M. Khan and A. Rehman. 2013. Weeds of wheat crop and their control strategies in Dera Ismail Khan District, Khyber Pakhtun Khwa, Pakistan. Am. J. Plant Sci., 4(1): 66-76.
- Memon, R.A, G. R. Bhatti, S. Khalid, A. Mallah and S. Ahmad. 2013. Ahmed Illustrated weed flora of wheat crop of Khairpur district, Sindh. Pak. J. Bot., 45(1): 39-47.
- Muhammad, S., Z. Khan and T. A. Cheema. 2009. Distribution of weeds in wheat, maize and potato fields of Tehsil Gojra, district Toba Tek Singh, Pakistan. Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res., 15(1): 91-105.
- Muhammad, Z., S.M. Wazir, A. Farooq, S. Ullah and Z. Hussain. 2011. Distribution and checklist of weeds in maize crop of Frontier region Bannu, Khyber Pakhtunkhawa, Pakistan. Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res., 17(4): 373-379.
- Nasir, E and S.I. Ali. 1974-1991. Flora of Pakistan, Department of Botany, University of Karachi & Agri. Res., Council, Islamabad, Pakistan.
- Oosting, H.J. 1956. The Study of Plant Communities. An introduction to plant ecology.2nd ed. W. H. Freeman and Company, London. Part 1. Physical and mineralogical methods, pp.383-411.
- Pimentel, D., R. Zuniga and D. Morrison. 2005. Update on the environmental and economic costs associated with alien invasive species in the United States. Ecologic. Econ., 52: 273288.

- Qureshi, R., A. Waheed and M. Arshad. 2009. Weed communities of wheat, maize and potatoes crop in district Toba Tek Singh, Pakistan. Pak. J. Bot., 41(1): 239-245.
- Reddy, T. Y. and G. H. S. Reddi. 2011. Principles of Agronomy. Kalyani Publishers, Noida, India, P.527.
- Shabbir, A. and R. Bajwa. 2006. Distribution of Parthenium weed (Parthenium hysterophorus L.): An alien invasive weed species threatening the biodiversity of Islamabad. Weed Biol. Manage., 6(2): 89-95.
- Shah, S.R.U., M. Qasim, I.A. Khan and S.A.U. Shah. 2006. Study of medicinal plants among weeds of wheat and maize in Peshawar region. Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res., 12(3): 191-197.
- Shedayi, A. A., S. Bano and I. Ilahi. 2011. Weed Distribution in Potato fields of Nazimabad, Tehsil Gojal, Gilgit-Baltistan, Pakistan. Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res, 17(1): 41-50.
- Sosa, A. J., M. V. Cardo and M. H. Julien. 2016. Predicting weed distribution at the regional scale in the native range: environmental determinants and bio control implications of *Phyla nodiflora* (Verbenaceae). Weed Res., 57(3): 193-203.

- Stewart, R.R. 1972. An Annotated catalog of vascular plants of West Pakistan and Kashmir. Fakhri Printing Press, Karachi.
- Tahira, J. J., S. N. Khan, R. Suliman and W. Anwar. 2010. Weed flora of *Curcuma longa* fields of district Kasur, Pakistan. Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res., 16(2): 241-246.
- Tauseef, M., F. Ihsan, W. Nazir and J. Farooq. 2012. Weed flora and importance value index (IVI) of the weeds in cotton crop fields in the region of Khanewal, Pakistan. Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res.. 18(3): 319-330
- Tiwari, A., P. K. Sahu, A. K. Shrivastava, and M. Thomas. 2014. Plant diversity and distribution of weeds in winter season crops of agroecosystems in Bilaspur district. Chhattisgarh. J. BioL. Env. Sci., 5(2): 251-259.
- Ullah, S., A. Ullah and A. Rashid. 2014. Medicinal diversity of weeds in the historical valley of Landikotal, Khyber Agency, Pakistan. Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res., 20(4): 531-539.
- Zeb, U., H. Khan, B. Gul and W.M. Khan. 2016. Floristic composition and phytosociological studies of Hazar Nao Hills district Malakand, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res., 22(2): 295-315.