### **Short Communication**

# Molecular Effects of Polymorphism in the 3'UTR of Osteopontin Gene in Riverine Buffalo

Sidra Manzoor<sup>1</sup>, Asif Nadeem<sup>1,\*</sup>, Masroor Ellahi Babar<sup>2</sup>, Wasim Shehzad<sup>1</sup>, Abu Saeed Hashmi<sup>1</sup>, Muhammad Imran<sup>1</sup>, Tanveer Hussain<sup>2</sup>, Abdul Wajid<sup>1</sup> and Maryam Javed<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Biochemistry and Biotechnology, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan

<sup>2</sup>Virtual University of Pakistan, Lahore, Pakistan

#### ABSTRACT

Nucleotide sequencing of bovine osteopontin gene showed a novel DNA polymorphism 37421762 (C>A) in the 3'-untranslated region (3'-UTR) of the gene. Association test was performed to analyze its relation with milk composition but it was found non-significant in all analyzed traits. So, it was inferred that it might be due to environmental or epigenetic effect, sampling number or a breed difference. To know the functional consequences of the identified polymorphism, RNA secondary structure analysis was performed and SNP was found changing the termination loop shape in mRNA. However, to increase the selection efficacy of dairy animals, the effects of candidate polymorphism should further be screened in different environments and genetic backgrounds.

steopontin (SPP1, OPN) is a multifunctional protein involved in a number of biological processes; chemotaxis, bone remodeling, cell adhesion, inhibition of ectopic calcification, fetal growth and development, cancer metastasis and immune modulatory functions (Schack et al., 2009). Its role in initiating and maintaining pregnancy, mammary gland development and differentiation has made it a potential biomolecule for genetic studies (Nemir et al., 2000). A large number of polymorphisms located in noncoding regions, including promoters, 5' and 3' untranslated gene regions (UTR), introns, and intergenic portion, associated with milk production traits have been identified so far (Yudin and Voevoda, 2015). Osteopontin gene has been reported for 8514C>T (intron IV) and other novel polymorphisms in coding and non-coding regions that were associated with milk production traits and body weight in various breeds (Khatib et al., 2007; Pareek et al., 2008; Mello et al., 2012; Kowalewska-Luczak and Kulig, 2013; Nani et al., 2015; Pasandideh et al., 2015). This work was planned to genetically characterize the osteopontin gene in riverine buffalo and to identify the single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) as genetic markers.





**Article Information** 

Received 07 January 2017 Revised 25 March 2017 Accepted 19 April 2017 Available online 26 June 2017

#### **Authors' Contributions**

AN conceived and designed the study. SM, AN, TH did sampling and genome extraction. SM, MI, AW amplified the markers. MJ, MEB, WS, analyzed the data. AN, SM, ASH wrote the article.

Key words

Polymorphism, 3'UTR, Osteopontin gene, Riverine buffalo.

Materials and methods

In order to analyze the Osteopontin polymorphism, fifty blood samples of Nili Ravi (Riverine buffalo) were collected from different livestock farms and processed for genomic DNA extraction (Maryam *et al.*, 2012).

Specific primer set 5' -TATAGACTTTGGTGTGGAAG- 3' (forward), 5' -CCCTGCTTTAATGTATCCTT- 3' (reverse) was designed to amplify the 3'UTR of SPP1 gene in riverine buffalo. A total of 25  $\mu$ L reaction mixture containing 1  $\mu$ L DNA (50 ng/ $\mu$ L), 2.5  $\mu$ L PCR buffer (2mM), 2.5  $\mu$ L dNTPs (25mM), 2.5  $\mu$ L MgCl2, 1  $\mu$ L of each forward and reverse primers (10 pmol), 0.75  $\mu$ L Taq DNA polymerase (5U /  $\mu$ L), and 13.75  $\mu$ L of deionized water was used for PCR amplification. Then the amplicons were precipitated and sequenced by Sanger chain termination method using AB 3130 XL genetic analyzer (Applied Bio systems Inc., Foster City, CA).

Sequences were aligned by using ClustalW and analyzed for genetic variation. p.37421762 (C/A) was found in 3' UTR of Osteopontin gene. Polymorphism was further analyzed for Chi² testing, Hardy Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) and association analysis by One Way ANOVA (Mean±SE) (Tables 1, II). SNP was found obeying HWE. Further p.37421762 was analyzed for RNA secondary structure (Supplementary Fig. 1) and there was

Corresponding author: asifnadeem@uvas.edu.pk 0030-9923/2017/0004-1527 \$ 9.00/0
 Copyright 2017 Zoological Society of Pakistan

S. Manzoor et al.

Table I.- Allelic and genotypic frequencies and HWE of identified polymorphism in 3' UTR of SPP1 gene.

SNP ID	Allele frequency		Genotype frequency			HWE P< 0.05
	A	В	AA	AB	BB	_
p.37421762 C/A	0.6829	0.3171	0.2861	0.1651	0.5383	0.0945

Table II.- Association analysis of identified SNP in 3' UTR of SPP1 gene with milk composition traits.

Genetic variation	Milk composition	$AA (Mean \pm SE)$	AB (Mean ± SE)	BB (Mean ± SE)	P-value (0.05)
		n=17	n=29	n=33	_
p.37421762 C/A	Milk fat	$6.16 \pm 0.6361$	6.44±1.5665	6.31±0.5129	0.620834
	SNF	$7.22\pm0.3552$	$7.48 \pm 0.3487$	$7.22 \pm 0.1447$	0.883244
	Lactose	$3.28\pm1.7022$	$3.67 \pm 0.8933$	$3.44\pm0.7762$	0.727452
	Protein	$2.98\pm0.3824$	$3.53\pm0.2377$	$3.33 \pm 0.3552$	0.829834
	Ash	$0.73\pm0.6338$	$0.76\pm0.4751$	$0.88 \pm 0.2851$	0.744613

significant difference in the termination loop of mRNA that might hinder the termination and Poly-A tailing of the mRNA molecule effecting functionality of the gene.

#### Results and Discussion

Conventionally, phenotypic and biochemical markers have been used to identify a potential animal for economically important traits in dairy breeds. Now, the selection efficiency of complex quantitative traits depends on the identification of candidate genes as well as DNA polymorphism in them. Once a reliable correlation between DNA polymorphisms and economically important traits has determined, such markers or polymorphisms may be incorporated in marker-assisted selection (MAS) breeding programs. Thus, the use of validated markers can substanially increase selection efficacy of animals (Singh et al., 2014). Analysis of animal databases has revealed that about 344 quantitative trait loci (QTL) are associated with milk production traits and 71 with mastitis related traits (Ogoreve et al., 2009; Singh et al., 2014). Association between DNA polymorphism and milk production traits have been reported for a number of genes including: including diacylglycerol acyltransferase 1 (DGAT1), fatty acid synthase (FASN), stearoyl-CoA desaturase 1 (SCD1), CSN1S1, ATP-binding cassette, subfamily G, member 2 (ABCG2), growth hormone receptor (GHR), oxidised low-density lipoprotein receptor 1 (OLR1), prolactin (PRL), signal transducer activator of transcription 5A (STAT5A), osteopontin (SPP1), leptin, alpha-lactalbumin (LALBA), Transcription factor Pit 1 (POU1F1), CYP11B1 and PPARGC1A (Kaupe et al., 2007; Khatib et al., 2007; Boleckova et al., 2012; Alim et al., 2013; Singh et al., 2014; Maryam et al., 2015; Yudin and Voevoda, 2015; Nadeem and Maryam, 2016).

The SPP1 gene was selected for association test with milk composition traits as several reported markers has showed its effects on milk production (Leonard et al., 2005). Alligned sequence showed an SNP in 3' UTR of SPP1 gene in riverine buffalo (Table I). DNA mutations in 3' UTR and intronic portion of the genes may alter the mRNA stability and splice sites of pre-mRNA, consequently leading to the assemblage of truncated proteins (Yudin and Voevoda, 2015). The identified SNP 37421762 (C>A) in the 3'UTR of the gene was found nonsignificant in all analyzed milk production traits. The SPP1 gene has been reported for g.8514C>T polymorphism associated with milk composition traits (Leonard et al., 2005; Khatib et al., 2007). However, the current study was found contradictory to them and no SNP was identified in this region of the gene. Cohen et al. (2004) reported that SPP1 is a candidate gene affecting milk protein percentage, but the identified SNP in 3'UTR did not show any significant relationship with milk protein content. It may be due to environmental or epigenetic effect, sampling number or a breed difference. However, the effects of genes used in marker-assisted selection (MAS) program should be known and consistent in different environments and genetic backgrounds to increase the selection efficacy of dairy animals.

#### Supplementary material

There is supplementary material associated with this article. Access the material online at: http://dx.doi.org/10.17582/journal.pjz/2017.49.4.sc8

## Statement of conflict of interest Authors have declared no conflict of interest.

#### References

- Alim, M.A., Xie, Y., Fan, Y., Wu, X., Sun, D., Zhang, Y., Zhang, S., Zhang, Y., Zhang, Q., and Liu, L., 2013. *J. appl. Anim. Res.*, **41**: 333-338. https://doi.org/10. 1080/09712119.2013.782873
- Boleckova, J., Matejickova, J., Stipkova, M., Kyselova, J. and Barton, L., 2012. *Czech J. Anim. Sci.*, **57**: 45–53.
- Cohen, M., Seroussi, E., Band, M.R., Lewin, H.A., Drackley, J.K., Larkin, D.M. and Weller, J.I., 2004. In 29th Int Conf Ani Gen, ISAG, F015, Tokyo, Japan
- Kaupe, B., Brandt, H., Prinzenberg, E.M. and Erhardt, G., 2007. J. Anim. Sci., 85: 11-21. https://doi. org/10.2527/jas.2006-506
- Khatib, H., Zaitoun, I., Wiebelhaus-Finger, J., Chang, Y.M. and Rosa, G.J.M., 2007. J. Dairy Sci., 90: 2966-2970. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2006-812
- Kowalewska-Luczak, I.K. and Kulig, H., 2013. *Turk. J. Vet. Anim. Sci.*, **37**: 631-635. https://doi.org/10.3906/vet-1105-3
- Leonard, S., Khatib, H., Schutzkus, V., Chang, Y.M., and Maltecca, C., 2005. *J. Dairy Sci.*, **88**: 4083-4086. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(05)73092-7
- Maryam, J., Babar, M.E., Nadeem, A., Yaqub, T. and Hashmi, A.S., 2015. *Meta Gene*, **6**: 85-90. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mgene.2015.09.002
- Maryam, J., Babar, M.E., Nadeem, A. and Hussain, T., 2012. *Mol. Biol. Rep.*, **39**: 4565–4570. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-011-1246-8
- Mello, F., Cobuci, J.A., Martins, M.F., Silva, M.V.G.B. and Neto, J.B., 2012. *Anim. Genet.*, **43**: 647-648.

- https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2052.2011.02312.x
- Nadeem, A. and Maryam, J., 2016. *Trop. Anim. Hlth. Prod.*, **48**: 1261-1268. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-016-1086-6
- Nani, J.P., Raschia, M.A., Carignano, H., Poli, M.A., Calvinho, L.F. and Amadio, A.F., 2015. *J. appl. Genet.*, **56**: 505-513. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13353-015-0278-5
- Nemir, M., Bhattacharyya, D., Li, X., Singh, K., Mukherjee, A.B. and Mukherjee, B.B., 2000. *J. biol. Chem.*, 275: 969-976. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.275.2.969
- Ogorevc, J., Kunej, T., Razpet, A. and Dovc, P., 2009. *Anim. Genet.*, **40**: 832-851. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2052.2009.01921.x
- Pareek, C.S., Czarnik, U., Pierzchała, M. and Zwierzchowski, L., 2008. *Anim. Sci. Pap. Rep.*, **26**: 251-257.
- Pasandideh, M., Mohammadabadi, M.R., Esmailizadeh, A.K. and Tarang, A., 2015. *Czech J. Anim. Sci.*, **60**: 97-104. https://doi.org/10.17221/8074-CJAS
- Schack, L., Lange, A., Kelsen, J., Agnholt, J., Christensen, B., Petersen, T.E. and Sørensen, E.S., 2009. *J. Dairy Sci.*, **92**: 5378-5385. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2009-2360
- Singh, U., Deb, R., Alyethodi, R.R., Alex, R., Kumar, S., Chakraborty, S., Dhama, K. and Sharma, A., 2014. *Biomark. Genom. Med.*, **6**: 49-58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bgm.2014.03.001
- Yudin, N.S. and Voevoda, M.I., 2015. *Russ. J. Genet.*, **51**: 506-517. https://doi.org/10.1134/S1022795415050087