



# The Effect of Citric Acid on Feed Intake, Body Weight Gain and Body Linear Measurement Traits on Indigenous Chickens- A Review

ZULU BLANTINA FANGELE, TYASI THOBELA LOUIS, GUNYA BUSISIWE\*

*Agricultural Economics and Animal Production, University of Limpopo, Private Bag X1106, Sovenga, 0727, South Africa.*

**Abstract** | The indigenous chickens are known to be economically, socially, and culturally important to the people of Africa, especially those from marginalised communities. Although these chickens are associated with poor productivity in terms of the number of eggs laid, most consumers prefer their flavoursome meat. Despite that, there has been a research gap in the genetic, physiological, and nutritional aspects of indigenous chickens of Africa over the past decade. The use of citric acid has higher economic potential owing to its numerous applications to chickens. This article critically reviews a detailed understanding of the description, advantages and limitations of using citric acid on indigenous chicken. Several studies have been conducted on the nutritional requirements of local chickens, but the results were inconclusive and contradictory. This review concludes that indigenous chickens play a significant role in improving livelihoods, and strategies to preserve and sustain them must be intensified. However, it has been well established that citric acid can acidify the gastrointestinal tract of the chicken which improve the feed intake, furthermore, they improve the growth performance of the chicken including the body weight gain and body linear measurements.

**Keywords** | Organic acid, Nutrition, Performance, Production, Chickens.

**Received** | October 26, 2021; **Accepted** | December 11, 2021; **Published** | March 10, 2022

**\*Correspondence** | Gunya Busisiwe, Agricultural Economics and Animal Production, University of Limpopo, Private Bag X1106, Sovenga, 0727, South Africa; **Email:** Busisiwe.Gunya@ul.ac.za

**Citation** | Fangele ZB, Louis TT, Busisiwe G (2022). The effect of citric acid on feed intake, body weight gain and body linear measurement traits on indigenous chickens- a review. *Adv. Anim. Vet. Sci.* 10(4): 802-810.

**DOI** | <http://dx.doi.org/10.17582/journal.aavs/2022/10.4.802.810>

**ISSN (Online)** | 2307-8316



**Copyright:** 2022 by the authors. Licensee ResearchersLinks Ltd, England, UK.

This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## INTRODUCTION

The indigenous chickens (*Gallus domesticus*) are the native breeds that have been farmed in many of the developing and underdeveloped countries throughout the world (Magothe et al., 2012). The importance of native birds for the rural economy is immense in different countries (Barua and Yoshimura 1997; Magothe et al., 2012). Though these birds are being used for rural backyard poultry production by most the small-scaler farmers since their genetic potential has not been fully exploited due to a

lack of resources and knowledge (Khobondo et al., 2015). Sometimes such chickens are referred to as traditional, scavenging, backyard, village, local or family chickens due to their adaptation to harsh environmental conditions that include extensive small-scale village, free-range and organic production systems (Mahendra, 2016). Indigenous chickens are hardy and can adapt to local conditions better than other breeds because of their ability to withstand harsh climatic conditions due to their typical genetic development (Van Marle-Köster et al., 2009). Moreover, although local chickens produce less meat and fewer eggs in comparison

with conventional chickens, they have an important role to play in providing food security and a source of income generation to resource-limited local communities who rely on them at a socio-economic level (Zaman et al., 2004).

Backyard farming has over the years contributed to a great extent to the economy of different countries (Khubondo et al., 2015). In the same way, indigenous chickens play a vital role in the rapidly growing economy (Mtileni et al., 2009). They provide livelihood security to the family in addition to securing the availability of food reducing the poverty. Unemployed youth and women can also earn an income through poultry farming by selling chickens (Gunya et al., 2020). They grow slowly and take time to reach maturity due to their behaviour since are scavenging and poor productivity under an extensive farming system (FAO, 2019). To increase the productivity of these chickens, the intensive farming system is the most viable condition to rear the chicken since the feed will be controlled and manipulated by adding citric acid as a growth promoter to the feed (Vargas-Rodriguez et al., 2002; Afsharmanesh and Pourreza 2005).

This review is to collate current information on the description of indigenous chickens of Africa and strategies of using the growth promoter such as citric acid to highlight improvement at their nutritional and genetic levels of performance. Therefore, the objective of this review is to provide information on the description of citric acid, the use of citric acid in poultry diets and limitations of using citric acid in poultry and the effect of citric acid in indigenous chicken production.

## INDIGENOUS CHICKENS

**Description of indigenous chickens:** “Indigenous chicken” is a word used to describe chickens that have adapted to their surroundings. Indigenous chickens are domestic animals that can survive in extremes of cold and heat, as well as wet and dry circumstances, whether in cages or out in the open, boosting on treetops. The fowl found in rural parts of Southern Africa are mostly named and classed depending on their phenotypic and geographical location, according to Van Marle-Köster et al. (2009).

**Indigenous chickens’ production in Southern Africa:** Free-range chicken production is not commercialized in most rural regions (Manyelo et al., 2020). As shown in Table 1, indigenous chickens are predominantly raised as part of mixed farming in extensive systems and to a lesser extent in semi-intensive systems. Rural people can employ indigenous chickens to transform accessible feed resources around the house or hamlet into extremely nutritious goods like meat and eggs. Overall, local chicken farming in southern African countries is still in its infancy, according

to Liswaniso et al. (2020). In Zambia, for example, just 0.5 percent of the total chicken population reaches the commercial market, with the vast majority eating in the home (Gueye, 2020; MFL 2019). Local chickens have so received little attention in terms of boosting their production rates, despite their value (Mtileni et al., 2012). The rural poultry sector accounts for approximately 98 percent of the overall chicken population (FAO, 2007), and is primarily made up of indigenous chickens (Moreda et al., 2013).

**Table 1: Indigenous chicken’s production system**

Production system	Mapiye et al. (2018)	Assefa et al.	Tadela et al. (2019)
Scavenging/Free range	45(28.1) <sup>2</sup>	79.6%	100%
Semi intensive	74(46.2) <sup>1</sup>	20.4	-
Cage or confined	45(28.1) <sup>2</sup>	-	-
Extensive	15 (9.4)	-	-

## CITRIC ACID

**Nutritional description of citric acid:** The citric acid-producing organism requires certain trace metals for growth and metabolic reaction. The metals that must be limiting consist of Zn, Mn, Fe, Cu, heavy metals. Fe<sup>2+</sup>, Mn<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup>, Cu<sup>2+</sup> are identified to be inhibitory to the production of citric acid by *Aspergillus niger* in submerged fermentation (Yadegary et al., 2013; Sawant et al., 2019). Production of citric acid from submerged fermentation by *Aspergillus niger* is extremely sensitive to trace metals present in starchy and molasses media. Therefore, the concentration of these heavy metals should be decreased correlate to the concentration of optimal growth as well as maximum production of citric acid. Max et al. (2010) observed the low levels of phosphate have a maximum achieved citric acid production. This effect work at the level of enzyme activity rather than at the level of gene expression. On the other hand, while the maximum lead of phosphate to a decrease in the fixation of carbon dioxide, which can increase the foundation of certain sugar acids as well as stimulate growth (Soccol et al., 2006).

In citric acid, fermentation ranged at phosphorus concentrations of 0.5 to 5.0 g/L is essential for maximum production of citric acid. Potassium dihydrogen phosphate has been a profitable source for good achieved citric acid production. The type and concentration of carbohydrates are also important factors, which determine the production of the desired product. In contrast to the effect of other factors, the relative effect of sugar concentration on the important fermentation parameters with filamentous fungi (Ali et al., 2016). However, according to Anwar et al., (2009), the high content of sugars in fermented media is considered favourable for higher production of citric acid. Chundakkadu et al. (2005) have reviewed the production

of citric acid directly correlate by the nitrogen source used in the fermentation, and ammonium salts such as urea, ammonium chloride, and ammonium sulphate are preferred. Feed manufacturers use several pro-nutrients and growth promoters to attain the highest level of genetic potential by converting feed to gain more efficiently within a minimum time duration.

**Citric acid in poultry diet:** Citric acid (CA) is commonly used in poultry diets to promote growth by acidifying the gastrointestinal contents, nutrient digestibility, and reducing pathogen loads (Min et al., 2007). It acts as a growth promoter through acidifying the gastrointestinal (GI) content and is considered as a favourite determinant ineffective nutrient digestion (Boling et al., 2000). In addition, it modifies intestinal pH, it also improves the performance and increases the solubility of feed ingredients and the digestion and absorption of nutrients (Nourmohammadi and Afzali, 2013). Improved digestive efficiency cannot be attributed only to morphological changes in the gastrointestinal tract.

Citric Acid has been studied in poultry for its specific antimicrobial activity (Patten and Waldroup, 1988). The production of biogenic amines is mainly influenced by temperature, availability of oxygen, redox potential, and pH (Min et al., 2007). Citric acid can inhibit microbial growth in foods and consequently reduce the BA content. Organic acids such as citric acid have mainly been used to sanitize feed to prevent issues such as salmonella infections in animals (Thompson and Hinton, 1997). Their effect in animal diets may also suppress pathogenic growth and improve digestion, absorption, mucosal immunity, and topical effects on the intestinal brush border (Mroz, 2005).

**Citric acid as a growth promoter in poultry:** Citric acid shows sufficient antimicrobial activity to preserve feed against bacterial spoilage but simultaneously reduces the levels of undesirable bacteria (for example *E. coli*) in the gastrointestinal tract can ultimately improve growth rate (Falkowski and Aherne 1984; Eidelsburger and Kirchgessner 1994; Deepa et al., 2011). Cave (1984) reported that the addition of high levels of citric acid could strongly decrease the palatability of feed whereas its inclusion at low levels increased feed intake in avian species. Daskiran et al. (2004) stated that early exposure to dietary acidifiers might cause an adaptation in birds, and reduce the subsequent therapeutic activity of acidifier. Therefore, they proposed to use the acidifiers in the grower phase rather than in the starter phase to reduce economic losses from heat stress. However, Nhleko et al. (2003) stated that indigenous chickens are not easily affected by heat stress have a stronger immunity system. Shen Hui Fang et al. (2005) demonstrated the best feed conversion ratio with

the addition of 0.3% CA in growing chickens. A dose titration study of Citric Acid in the diets found that up to 6% maintained live weight, but feed intake was reduced by 1.5% level and feed conversion efficiency increased till 6% level (Islam et al., 2011c). The higher inclusion level of 7.5% did not cause any sign of toxicity but caused depression of growth. Growth promoters are now recognized in the broiler industry as feed additives for faster growth and economic meat production (Bhuyan et al., 1977). They also improve the efficiency of feed utilization (Milligan et al., 1955). Citric acid works by reducing the intestinal pH caused by lowering the pathogenic microbial burden.

**Citric acid as an alternative to antibiotics:** It is true that the antibiotics could not be fully replaced by the organic acids in the development of specific immunity and disease prevention, but could be considered as growth promoters (Deepa et al., 2011). Some studies have been conducted to test the feasibility of Citric acid instead of antibiotics in the context of the performance of broilers. Haque et al., (2010) found that dietary supplementation of 0.5% citric acid increases weight gain, feed intake, tibia ash deposition and non-specific immunity as well as feed efficiency and carcass yield. Several antibiotics are allowed to be used in poultry production (Jones and Rickett, 2003) as a growth promoter. Sub-therapeutic levels of antibiotics in broiler feed have increased feed efficiency but the continuous use of these antibiotic growth promoters have residual effects on their products such as broiler meat.

The antibiotic residue causes resistance and cross-resistance to pathogens in the animal body and for humans and therefore it is now considered a public health hazard (Botsoglou and Fletouris, 2001). Evidence exists that, antibiotic resistance genes can be transmitted from animal to human microbiota (Greko, 2001). Probiotics, prebiotics, organic acids, herbs, and herbal products are some substitute approaches of antibiotics in poultry production (Fuller, 1989; Chaveerach et al., 2004). Among other alternatives, organic acids work in poultry, not only as a growth promoter (Abdel-Azeem et al., 2000; Fushimi 2001; Abdo 2004) but also as a meaningful tool of controlling all enteric bacteria, both pathogenic and non-pathogenic (Naidu 2000; Wolfenden et al., 2007).

Citric acid is an organic acid that decreased feed intake and increased daily weight gain and feed conversion efficiency of broilers (Deepa et al., 2011). Considering these facts citric acid is safe for humans and can be used as a growth promoter in broiler production. Another alternative to antibiotic growth promoters (AGPs) is herbal feed additive. This can be used in the poultry diet due to their antimicrobial properties (Dorman and Deans, 2000). Many herbs and their bioactive constituents possess a broad antimicrobial activity (Lewis et al., 2003). It can help in digestion aid by

stimulation of endogenous enzyme activity and absorption of nitrogen (Gill, 2001) and inhibition of odour and ammonia control (Varel, 2002).

### Citric acids on indigenous chicken production:

#### Effect of citric acid on feed intake of indigenous chicken breeds

In applied physiology nutrition and growth are two closely related and complementary subjects which are considered with each other (Alabi et al., 2013). Gut conditions, as the main part of the body and responsible for digestion and absorption, are the subject of many researchers. The digestive system of all species including avian has a dynamic property that regulates itself depending on the physiological requirements and present circumstances. The feed intake of the chickens is affected by the gastrointestinal tract (GIT) which depends on many factors including the intestinal pH as well (Farner, 1942).

The relatively acidic pH of the avian GIT is also dependent on some factors such as health of the chicken, kind of nutrients and more important, microflora content of the GIT. The indigenous chickens like the Indigenous chicken are scavengers, most of the time do not meet their requirement. However, correlation between the pH and microflora content and microflora and nutrient are mutual (Sarraf et al., 1985). The pH level in specific areas of the GIT is a factor that establishes a specific microbial population and affects the digestibility and absorptive value of most nutrients.

Most of the pathogens grow at a pH close to 7 or slightly higher. In contrast, beneficial microorganisms live at an acidic pH (5.8-6.2) and compete with pathogens (Ferd 1974). In addition, lowering the pH in the GIT by organic acids such as citric acid improves nutrient absorption (Boling et al., 2001). The history of using antibiotics in poultry ration began 60 years ago (Moore et al., 1946), and today there are several antibiotics that can be used in poultry production (Jones et al., 2003) as growth promoters such as citric acid. The citric acid decreases microbial load in the GIT and improve weight gain and feed conversion ratio because they make more nutrients available to the host meaning the feed intake is higher.

The influence of citric acid on feed intake is presented in Table 2. Khooshechin et al. (2015) reported that the inclusion of OA at 3 g kg<sup>-1</sup> significantly increased Average Daily Feed Intake. The beneficial effect of acidifiers, such as OA, on performance is related to a more efficient use of nutrients and digestibility improvement (Nourmohammadi et al., 2012). On the other, hand Shariffuzzan et al. (2020) found that the highest feed intake was observed in birds given 0.75% CA and depressed feed intake was observed on a higher level of CA application (1%CA) as

shown in Table 2. Similarly with the findings by Islam et al. (2008) found that the feed intake is higher by addition of CA. Islam et al. (2008) reported average feed intake was lower in treatment A(control) and higher in treatment D (0.5% CA+ 0.5% Acetic acid).

**Table 2:** Effect of citric acid on feed intake of chickens.

Treatments	Feed intake	Reference
0% CA	1442±52	Shariffuzzan et al. (2020)
0.5% CA	1450±55	
0.75% CA	1470±62	
1.00% CA	1430±27	
BD	1931±42.1	Khooshechin et al. (2015)
BD+10Ag Kg <sup>-1</sup>	1885±42.1	
BD+20Ag Kg <sup>-1</sup>	1905±42.1	
BD+ 30A Kg <sup>-1</sup>	2012±42.1	
Control	2913±142.90	Islam et al. (2008)
0.5 Citric acid	3118.6±126.99	
0.5 Acetic acid	3029±223.88	
0.5 Citric acid+ 0.5 Acetic acid	3101±106.8	

#### Effect of citric acid on body weight gain of indigenous chicken:

The development of livestock and animal health has not always led to sustainable increases in farmers' welfare or animal productivity due to the lack of understanding of livestock production systems. The multipurpose functions of livestock and the complex relationships between animal health, nutrition, breeding, and biotechnology require a systems approach to optimize the use of resources (Kaasschieter et al., 1992). Therefore, multi-stakeholders directed their goals toward improving the environmental sustainability of livestock via better metrics and methods, such as acidification and fermentation of products, which provide better keeping quality (FAO, 2019).

In applied physiology, growth and nutrition are closely related and complementary subjects. The use of different strategies to explore this relationship can improve animal production (Rahmani and Speer 2005; Abdelrazek et al., 2016). The achievement of optimum broiler performance has led to the search for alternative growth promoters, especially owing to the ban on using antibiotics as growth promoters like citric acid (Fascina et al., 2012). Thus, researchers have developed physiological additives, such as organic acid like citric acid to enhance immunity and improve performance.

According to Khan (2016), these additives aid development of normal physiological functions in animals or ameliorate their deficiencies. Organic acids are weak acids that

**Table 3:** Effect of citric acid on body weight gain of chickens

Treatments					Reference
T0	T1	T2	T3	T4	
846±.38	906±68	926±60	882±41		Sharifuzzan et al. (2020)
64.89±5.54	66.83±5.69	66.06±5.73		66.38±5.57	Fik et al. (2021)

T0::0%CA, T1:0.5% CA, T2:0.75, T3:1% CA, T4:1.5 CA

**Table 4:** Financial sustainability of using citric acid in indigenous chickens

Parameters	Dietary Treatments			
	T1	T2	T3	T4
Cost/kg feed	28.02±0.00	29.67±0.00	30.00±0.00	31.12±0.00
Chicken price	45	45	45	45
Feed intake kg/bird	1.60	1.60	1.64	1.57
Cost (Feed/broiler)	44.83±0.80	47.47±1.10	49.2±1.20	49.86±1.20
Cost (Feed+chick)/broiler	89.83±0.80	92.47±0.82	94.20±0.85	93.8±0.80
Other cost	25±0.00	25±0.00	25±0.00	25±0.00
Total cost/bird	114.83±0.85	117.47±0.90	119±0.80	118.86±0.81
Cost/kg live weight	115.76±1.07	111.87±1.01	111.40±1.02	115.39±1.08
Sale/bird	124±0.00	131.25±0.00	133.75±0.00	128.75±0.00
Profit/bird	9.17±2.01	13.78±2.10	14.55±2.30	9.86±1.60

Source (Sharifuzzaman1 et al., 2020)

enhance intestinal function. Correct usage of these compounds together with proper nutrition, management, and biosecurity measures confers several beneficial effects, such as enhancement of protein digestion, leading to improved feed conversion ratio (FCR), growth performance, and immunity, as well as enhancement of mineral absorption from the intestine (Nourmohammadi et al., 2012; Wickramasinghe et al., 2014).

Citric acid increased body weight gain in chickens, according to Fik et al. (2021) and Sharifuzzan et al. (2020), as shown in Table 3. This was supported by Islam et al. (2018) and Chowdhi et al. (2009), who found that when birds were fed citric acid-rich meals, their body weight gain improved. The beneficial effect of citric acid on the gut flora is most likely responsible for the better body weight growth.

**Effect of citric acid on body linear measurement traits of indigenous chickens:** Poultry production in most rural parts of South Africa is characterized by small scavenging operations. Most of the poultry in these operations are indigenous chickens that are of low productivity. Mostly the body linear measurements are used to select or to determine the animal's body weight. There are higher carcasses from the chickens that are fed diets containing citric acid (Abdel-Fattah et al., 2008; Ebrahimnezhad et al., 2008). Other research has indicated numerical improvements in carcass hence the body linear measurements of the chickens will also increase or get bigger (Nourmohammadi et al., 2010). Organic acids such as citric acid become useful

in promoting the body linear measurement of birds.

**Financial sustainability of using citric acid in indigenous chickens:** Citric acid is widely used as a food additive around the world, therefore its production and availability are plentiful. As a result, its impact on feed costs would be minimal, but gains from increased growth and lower mortality might be realized. According to Sharifuzzaman et al. (2020), the feed cost per bird was highest in the bird with 1.0 percent citric acid added and lowest in the T0 control with 0 percent citric acid added (Table 4). The feed cost per bird in the treatment with citric acid was greater because the feed consumption was raised with the supplementation of citric acid. Citric acid in the food had a substantial (P 0.01) effect on the cost per kg live weight of broilers. Control had the greatest cost per kg live weight of broiler, whereas birds in treatments with the inclusion of citric acid had the lowest cost per kg live weight of broiler. According to recent research, adding 0.5 percent CA to the diet raised diet costs, but production was more profitable because to greater growth and feed efficiency (Islam et al., 2008). This conclusion of increased profit in CA fed birds was supported by Islam et al. (2011b). In comparison to an unsupplemented control, other researchers discovered that adding CA to broiler production boosted profitability (Tolba, 2010).

## CONCLUSION

Even when the specific effect of citric acid on the feed in-

take, body weight gains and body linear measurements on indigenous chicken. It has been well established that the organic acids such as citric acid can acidify the gastrointestinal tract of the chicken which improve the feed intake, furthermore, they improve the growth performance of the chicken including the body weight gain and body linear measurements. Citric acid have the ability of improve the gastrointestinal tract of the chickens leading to higher feed intake and the chicken can meet their requirements. Therefore, the increase in the feed intake will lead to increase in the body weight gain and the body linear measurements since they are sometimes used to predict the body weight of the animal.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors have declared no conflict of interest.

## DECLARATION

Authors declare that this work is not published in other journal.

## AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION

BG designed the review. BFZ and BG wrote the original draft. TLT and BG read, edited and approved the final manuscript.

## REFERENCES

- Abdelrazek HMA, Abuzeadm SMM, Ali SA, El-Genaidy HMA, Abdel Hafez SA (2016). Effect of citric and acetic acid water acidification on broiler's performance with respect to thyroid hormones levels. *Adv. Anim Vet. Sci.* 4:271-278. <https://doi.org/10.14737/journal.aavs/2016/4.5.271.278>
- Abdel-Fattah SA, Ei-sanhoury MH, Ei-mednay NM, Abdulazeem F (2008). Thyroid activity of broiler chicks fed supplemental organic acid. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.* 7:215-222.
- Abdel-Azeem F, EL-zzhommosany YM, Nematallag, GMA (2000). Effect of citric acid in diets with different starch and fibre levels on productive performance and some physiological traits of growing rabbits. *Egypt. J. Rabbit Sci.* 10: 121-145.
- Abdo MAZ (2004). Efficacy of acetic acid in improving the utilization of low protein-low energy broiler diets. *Egypt. Poult. Sci. J.* 24: 123-141.
- Afsharmanesh M, Pourreza J (2005). Effects of calcium, citric acid, ascorbic acid and vitamin D3 on the efficacy of microbial phytase in broiler starters fed wheat based diets. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.* 4: 418-424. <https://doi.org/10.3923/ijps.2005.418.424>
- Alabi OJ, Ng'ambi JW, Norris D (2013). Dietary energy level for optimum productivity and carcass characteristics of indigenous chickens raised in closed confinement. *S. Afr. J. Anim. Sci.* 43: 75-80. <https://doi.org/10.4314/sajas.v43i5.14>
- Ali SR, Anwar Z, Irshad M, Mukhtar S, Warraich NT (2016).

- Bio-synthesis of citric acid from single and co-culture based fermentation technology using agrowastes. *J. Radiat. Res. Appl. Sci.* 9: 57-62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrras.2015.09.003>
- Anwar S, Ali S, Sardar A, (2009). Citric acid fermentation of hydrolysed raw starch by *Aspergillus niger* IIB-A6 in stationary culture. *Sind Univ. Res. J. (Sci Ser).* 4(1): 01-08.
- Barua A, Yoshimura Y (1997). Rural poultry keeping in Bangladesh. *Worlds Poult. Sci. J.* 53(4):392-394. <https://doi.org/10.1079/WPS19970031>
- Bhuyan JL, Jessica F, Sayers R, Gulliver AL, Coleman K (1977). Antimicrobial substances and chick's growth promotion. The growth promotion activities of antimicrobial substances included fifty-two used either in therapy or as dietary additives. *Br. J. Poult. Sci.* 18: 283-294. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00071667708416364>
- Boling SD, Snow JL, Parsons CM, Baker DH (2001). The effect of citric acid on calcium and phosphorus requirements of chicks fed corn-soybean meal diets. *Poult. Sci.* 80:783-788. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ps/80.6.783>
- Boling SD, Webel DM, Mavromichalis I, Parsons CM, Baker DH (2000). The effects of citric acid on phytate-phosphorus utilization in young chicks and pigs. *Anim. Sci.* 78: 682-689 <https://doi.org/10.2527/2000.783682x>.
- Botsoglou NA, Fletouris DJ (2001). Drug resistant in foods. *Pharmacology, Food safety and analysis.* New York, Marcel Dekker, Inc., P. 541-548.
- Cave NAG (1984). Effect of dietary propionic and lactic acid on feed intake by chicks. *Poult. Sci.* 63:131-134. <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.0630131>
- Chaveerach P, Keuzenkamp DA, Lipman LJ, VanKnapen F (2004). Effect of organic acids in drinking water for young broilers on *compylobacter* infection, volatile fatty acid production, gut microflora and histological cell changes. *Poult. Sci.* 83: 330-334. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ps/83.3.330>
- Chowdhury R, Islam K, Khan M, Karim M, Haque M, Khatun M, Pesti G (2009). Effect of citric acid, avilamycin, and their combination on the performance, tibia ash, and immune status of broilers. *Poult. Sci.* 88: 1616-1622. <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.2009-00119>
- Chowdhury R, Islam KMS, Khan MJ, Karim MR (2009c). Effects of dietary supplementation of citric acid, avilamycin and their combination on the growth performance, tibia as and immune status of broiler. *Proceedings of the Seminar and International Poultry Show, 2009 (5-7 March).* Bangladesh Branch. pp.133.
- Chundakkadu K (2005). Solid-state fermentation systems- An overview. *Crit. Rev. Biotechnol.* 25: 130.
- Daskiran M, Teeter RG, Vanhooser SL, Gibson ML, Roura E (2004). Effect of dietary acidification on mortality rates, general performance, carcass characteristics and serum chemistry of broilers exposed to cycling high ambient temperature stress. *J. Appl. Poult. Res.* 13: 605-613. <https://doi.org/10.1093/japr/13.4.605>
- Deepa C, Jeyanthi GP, Chandrasekaran D (2011). Effect of phytase and citric acid supplementation on the growth performance, phosphorus, calcium and nitrogen retention on broiler chicks fed with low level of available phosphorus. *Asian J. Poult. Sci.* 5: 28-34. <https://doi.org/10.3923/ajpsaj.2011.28.34>
- Dorman HJD, Deans SG (2000). Antimicrobial agents from plant: Antibacterial activity of plant volatile oils. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 88: 308-316. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365->

2672.2000.00969.x

- Ebrahimnezhad Y, Shivazad M, TaherkhanI R, Nazeradl K (2008). Effects of citric acid and microbial phytase supplementation on performance and phytate phosphorus utilization in broiler chicks. *Poult. Sci. J.* 45: 20-24. <https://doi.org/10.2141/jpsa.45.20>.
- Eidelsburger U, Kirchgessner M, (1994). Effect of organic acids and salts in the feed on fattening performance of broilers. *Archiv für Geflügelkunde.* 58: 268-277.
- El-Hussein AA, Tawfig SAM, Mohammed, SG, El-Siddig MA, Siddig MAM (2009). Citric acid production from kenana cane Molasses by *Aspergillus niger* in submerged fermentation. *J. Gen. Eng. Biotechnol.* 7(2): 51-57.
- Falkowski JF., Aherne FX (1984). Fumaric and citric acid as feed additives in starter pig Nutrition. *J. Anim. Sci.* 58: 935-938. <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas1984.584935x>
- FAO (2007). Poultry sector country renew Animal production and health devision, Emergeny Centre for trans-boundary animal diseases, socio economics production and biodiversity unit. Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations, Rome, Italy.
- FAO (2019). The Future of Livestock in Nigeria. Opportunities and Challenges in the Face of Uncertainty; FAO: Rome, Italy.
- FAO (2019). Water Use in Livestock Production Systems and Supply Chains—Guidelines for Assessment (Version 1).In Livestock Environmental Assessment and Performance (LEAP) Partnership; FAO: Rome, Italy.
- Farner DS (1942). The hydrogen ion concentration in avian digestive tracts. *Poult. Sci.* 21: 445. <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.0210445>
- Fascina VB, Sartori JR, Gonzales E, Carvalho FBD, Souza IMGP, Polycarpo GV, Stradiotti AC, Pelicia VC (2012). Phytogenic additives and organic acids in broiler chicken diets. *Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia*, 41: 2189–2197. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1516-35982012001000008>
- Ferd DJ (1974). The effect of microflora on gastrointestinal pH in the chick. *Poult. Sci.* 53:115-131. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00071667408416086>
- Fik M, Cyril Hrnčár C, Hejniš1 D, Hanusová E, Arpášová H, Bujko J (2021). The effect of citric acid on performance and carcass characteristics of broiler chickens. *Anim. Si. Biotech.* 54(1): 187-192.
- Fuller R (1989). Probiotics in man and animals. *J. Appl. Bacteriol.* 66: 365-378. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.1989.tb05105.x>
- Fushimi T, Tayama K, Fukaya M, Kitakoshi K, Nakai N, Tsukamoto Y, Sato Y (2001). Acetic acid feeding enhances glycogen repletion in liver and skeletal muscle of rats. *J. Nutri.* 131: 1973-1977. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/131.7.1973>
- Gill C (2001). Safe and sustainable feed ingredients. *Feed Int.* 22: 40-45.
- Greko C (2001). Safety aspect of non-use of aniti-microbials as growth promoters in gut environment of pigs. Nottingham University Press, Nottingham, Uk, P. 219-230.
- Grobelaar J, Fourie C (2006). How to Start a Poultry Farm; Agricultural Research Council: Pretoria, South Africa, 29–30.
- Guèye EF (2000). The role of family poultry in poverty alleviation, food security and the promotion of gender equality in rural Africa. *Outlook Agric.* 22(9): 129–136. <https://doi.org/10.5367/0000000000101293130>
- Gunya B, Muchenje V, Gxasheka M, Tyasi LT, Masika PJ (2020). Management practices and contribution of village chickens to livelihoods of communal farmers: The case of Centane and Mount Frere in Eastern Cape, South Africa. *Biodiversitas*, 21:1345–1351. <https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d210410>
- Haque MN, Islam KMS, Akbar MA, Karim MR, Chowdhury R, Khatun M, Kemppainen BW (2010). Effect of dietary citric acid, flavomycin and their combination on the performance, tibia ash and immune status of broiler. *Can. J. Anim. Sci.* 90: 57-63. <https://doi.org/10.4141/CJAS09048>
- Islam MS, Islam KMS, Akbar MA, Haque MN, Hossain ME, Khaleduzzaman ABM (2011c). Dose titration and safety margin of citric acid in broiler. *Indian Journal of Animal Nutrition* (submitted).
- Islam, MZ, Khandaker1 ZH , Chowdhury SD, and Islam KMS (2008). Effect of citric acid and acetic acid on the performance of broilers. *J. Bangladesh Agril. Univ.* 6(2): 315–320. <https://doi.org/10.3329/jbau.v6i2.4828>
- Iyer SG (1950). Improved indigenous hen evolved by selective breeding. *Indian Vet. J.* 26:80–86.
- Jones FT, Ricket SC (2003). Observations on the history of the development of antimicrobials and their use in poultry feeds. *J. Poult. Sci.* 82: 613-617. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ps/82.4.613>
- Kaasschieter GA, De Jong R, Schiere JB, Zwart D (1992). Towards a sustainable livestock production in developing countries and the importance of animal health strategy therein. *Veterinarian Q*, 14: 66–75. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01652176.1992.9694333>
- Khan SH, Iqbal J (2016). Recent advances in the role of organic acids in poultry nutrition. *J. Appl. Anim. Res.* 44:359–369. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09712119.2015.1079527>
- Khobondo JO, Muasya TK, Miyumo S, Okeno TO, Wasike CB, Mwakubambanya R, Kingori AM, Kingori AK (2015). Genetic and nutrition development of indigenous chicken in Africa. *Livest. Res. Rural Dev.* 27: 1–21.
- Khooshechin F, Mohammad S, Hosseini S, Nourmohammadi R. (2015). Effect of Dietary Acidification in Broiler Chickens: 1. Growth Performance and Nutrients Ileal Digestibility. *Ital. J. Anim. Sci.* 14: 423-427. <https://doi.org/10.4081/ijas.2015.3885>
- Lewis MR, Rose, S.P., Mackenzie, A.M., Tucker, L.A., 2003. Effect of plant extracts on growth performance of male broiler chicken. *Nutri. Res.* 29: 1383-1389.
- Liswaniso S, Ning QIN, Xuesong SHAN, Chimbaka IM, Xue SUN, Rifu XU (2020). Quality Characteristics, Phenotypic correlations and Principal Component Analysis of Indigenous Free Range Chicken Eggs in Lusaka; Zambia. *Int. J. Agric. Res.* 6: 29–35.
- Magothe TM, Okeno TO, Muhuyi WB, Kahi AK (2012). Indigenous chicken production in Kenya: II. Prospects for research and development. *Worlds Poult. Sci. J.* 68(1):133–144. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S004393391200013X>
- Mahendra KP (2016) Importance of indigenous breeds of chicken for rural economy and their improvements for higher production performance. *Scientifica*, 9. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/2604685>
- Mammo ME, Tamir B, Tadelle D, (2008). Village Chicken Characteristics and Their Seasonal Production Situation in Jamma District, South Wollo, Ethiopia. *Livest. Res. Rural Dev.* 20.
- Manyelo TG, Selaledi L, Hassan ZM, Mabelebele M. (2020). Local chicken breeds of Africa: their description, uses and

- conservation methods. *Anim.* 10(2257): 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani10122257>
- Max B, Salgado J, Rodriguez N, Cortes S, Converti A, Dominguez J (2010). Biotechnological production of citric acid. *Braz. J. Microbiol.* 41: 862-875. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1517-83822010000400005>
- MFL (2019). The Livestock and Aquaculture Census Report; Ministry of Fisheries and Livestock of the Republic of Zambia: Lusaka, Zambia, p. 19.
- Milligan JL, Wilke HL, Marr JE, Bathke RM, (1995). Ascorbic acid in commercial broiler rations. *J. Poult. Sci.* 34: 744-799 <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.0340794>
- Min JS, Leo SO, Jang A, Jo C, Lee M (2007). Control of microorganisms and reduction of biogenic amines in chicken breast and thigh by irradiation and organic acids. *Poultry Science.*, 86: 2034 – 2041. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ps/86.9.2034>
- Mohamed RI, Mosaad GM, Abd-Ellah AEM (2016). Effect of feeding citric acid on performance of broiler ducks fed different protein levels. *J. Adv. Vet. Res.* 6: 18-26.
- Mohammad SA, Sohail HK (2008). Effects of different energy protein ratio on the performance of Desi native chickens during growing phase. *Asian J. Poult. Sci.* 2: 42-47. <https://doi.org/10.3923/ajpsaj.2008.42.47>
- Moore PR, Evenson A, Luckey TD, McCoy E, Elvehjem CA, Hart EB (1946). Use of sulfasuxidine, streptomycin and streptomycin in nutritional studies with chick. *J. Biol. Chem.* 165: 437-441. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258\(17\)41154-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(17)41154-9)
- Moreda E, Hareppal S, Johansson A, Sisaye T and Sahile Z (2013). Characteristics of Indigenous Chicken Production System in South West and South Part of Ethiopia. *British J. Poultry Sci.*, 2(3): 25-32.
- Mroz Z (2005). Organic acids as potential alternatives to antibiotic growth promoters for pigs. *Adv. Pork Prod.* 16: 169.
- Mtileni BJ, Muchadeyi FC, Maiwashe A, Chimonyo M, Mapiye C, Dzama K (2012) Influence of socioeconomic factors on production constraints faced by indigenous chicken producers in South Africa. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.* 45: 67-74. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-012-0175-4>
- Mtileni BJ, Muchadeyi FC, Maiwashe A, Phitsane PM, Halimani T.E, Chimonyo M, Dzama K (2009). Characterisation of production systems for indigenous chicken genetic resources of South Africa. *Appl. Anim. Husb. Rural Dev.* 2: 18-22.
- Naidu AS (2000). Natural food antimicrobial systems. CRC Press USA, pp:431-462. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781420039368.ch17>
- Nhleko MJ, Slippers SC, Lubout PC, Nsahlai IV (2003). Characterisation of the Traditional Poultry Production in the Rural Agricultural System of KwaZulu-Natal. Nature and Development group of Africa NGO Registration no. 026-851-NPO. In Proceedings of the 1st National Workshop on Indigenous Poultry Development, Pietermaritzburg, South Africa, 29-30 October, 79-83.
- Nourmohammadi R, Afzali N (2013). Effect of citric acid and microbial phytase on small intestinal morphology in broiler chicken. *Ital. J. Anim. Sci.* 12: 44-47. <https://doi.org/10.4081/ijas.2013.e7>
- Nourmohammadi R, Hosseini SM, Farhangfar H, Bashtani M (2012). Effect of citric acid and microbial phytase enzyme on ileal digestibility of some nutrients in broiler chicks fed corn-soybean meal diets. *Italy J. Anim. Sci.* 11: 7. <https://doi.org/10.4081/2326>
- Nourmohammadi R, Hosseini SM, Farhangfar H (2010). Effect of Dietary acidification on some blood parameters and weekly performance of broiler chickens. *J. Anim. Vet. Adv.* 9: 3092-3097. <https://doi.org/10.3923/javaa.2010.3092.3097>
- Nourmohammadi R, Hosseini SM, Saraee H, Arab A, Arefinia H (2011). Plasma thyroid hormone concentration and pH values of some GI-tract segments of broiler fed on different dietary citric acid and microbial phytase levels. *J. Anim. Vet. Adv.* 10: 1450-1454. <https://doi.org/10.3923/javaa.2011.1450.1454>
- NRC (1994). Nutrient Requirements of Poultry. National Research Council. National Academy of Science, Washington, D.C.
- Patten JD, Waldroup PW (1988). Use of organic acids in broiler diets. *Poult. Sci.* 67: 1178-1182. <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.0671178>
- Rahmani H, Speer W (2005). Natural additives influence the performance and humoral immunity of broilers. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.* 4: 713-717. <https://doi.org/10.3923/ijps.2005.713.717>
- Sarra PG, Dellaglio F, Bottazzi V (1985). Taxonomy of lactobacilli isolated from the alimentary tract of chickens. *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* 6: 86-89. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0723-2020\(85\)80017-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0723-2020(85)80017-5)
- Sawant O, Mahale S, Ramchandran V, Nagaraj G, Bankar A (2018). Fungal citric acid production using waste materials: a mini-review. *J. Microbial. Biotechnol Food Sci.* 8 (2): 821-828. <https://doi.org/10.15414/jmbfs.2018.8.2.821-828>
- Scheele CW (1784). "Anmärkning om Citron-Saft, samt sätt att crystallisera den samma" (Note on lemon juice, as well as ways to crystallize the same). *Kongliga Vetenskaps Academiens Nya Handlingar* , 5:105-109
- Selam M, Kelay B (2013). Causes of village chicken mortality and interventions by farmers in Ada'a District, Ethiopia. In. *J. Livest. Prod.* 4: 88-94. <https://doi.org/10.5897/IJLP12.021>
- Sharifuzzaman M, Sharmin F, Khan, MJ, Shishir MSR, Akter S, Afrose M, Jannat HE. 2020. Effects of Low Energy Low Protein Diet with Different Levels of Citric Acid on Growth, Feed Intake, FCR, Dressing Percentage and Cost of Broiler Production. *J. Agric. Vet. Sci.* 13(3): 33-41.
- Shen-Hui F, Han-Chui W, Du-Bing W (2005). Effect of citric acid on production performance of Three Yellow chicken. *China-Poultry*, 27: 14-15.
- Slam KMS, Schaeublin H, Wenk C, Wanner M, Liesegang A (2011b). Effect of dietary citric acid on the performance and mineral metabolism of broiler. *J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr.* 96(5): 808-817. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0396.2011.01225.x>
- Soccol CR, Vandenberghe LPS, Rodrigues C, Pandey A (2006). A new perspective for citric acid production and application. *Food Technol. Biotechnol.* 44(2): 141-149.
- Tang MY, Ma QG, Chen ZD, Ji C (2007). Effects of dietary metabolizable energy and lysine on carcass characteristics and meat quality in Arbor Acres broilers. *Asian-Australas J. Anim. Sci.*, 20:1865-1873. <https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.2007.1865>
- Thompson JL, Hinton M (1997). Antibacterial activity of formic and propionic acids in the diet of hens on salmonellas in the crop. *Br. Poult. Sci.* 38: 59-65. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00071669708417941>
- Tolba AAH (2010). Reduction of broiler intestinal pathogenic micro-flora under normal or stressed condition. *Egypt. Poult. Sci. J.* 30: 249-270.

- Van Marle-Köster E, Hefer CA, Nel LH, Groenen MAM (2009). Genetic diversity and population structure of locally adapted South African chicken genotypes: Implications for conservation. *S. Afr. J. Anim. Sci.* 38: 271–281.
- Varel VH (2002). Livestock manure odour abatement with plant-derived oils and nitrogen conservation with urease inhibitors. A Review. *J. Anim. Sci.* 80: E1-E7. [https://doi.org/10.2527/animalsci2002.80E-Suppl\\_2E1x](https://doi.org/10.2527/animalsci2002.80E-Suppl_2E1x)
- Vargas-rodriguez L, Herrera-haro J, Morales-barrer E, Suarez-oporta ME, Gonzater-alcorta M, Garcia-bajalil C (2002). Citric acid and microbial phytase relative to production performance and phosphorus, calcium and nitrogen excretion in laying hens. *Technica- Pecuaria* 40: 169-180.
- Whiskers F (2018). Native South African Chicken Breeds. (accessed on 16 October 2020).
- Wickramasinghe K, Atapattu N, Seresinhe R (2014). Effects of citric acid on growth performance and nutrient retention of broiler chicken fed diets having two levels of non-phytate phosphorus and rice bran. *Iran J. Appl. Anim. Sci.* 4: 809–815.
- Wolfenden AD, Vicente JL, Bielke LR, Pixley CM, Higgins SE, Donoghue DJ, Donoghue AM, Hargis BM, Tellez G (2007). Effect of a define competitive exclusion culture forprophyl axis and reduction of horizontal transmission of Salmonella enteritidis in broiler chickens. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.* 6: 489-492. <https://doi.org/10.3923/ijps.2007.489.492>
- Yadegary M, Hamidi A, Alavi SA, Khodaverdi E, Yahaghi H, Sattari S, Bagherpour G, Yahaghi E (2013). Citric acid production from sugarcane bagasse through solid state fermentation method using Aspergillus niger mold and optimization of citric acid production by taguchi method. *Jundishapur J. Microbiol.* 6(9):1-6. <https://doi.org/10.5812/jjm.7625>
- Yalcin SK, Bozdemir MT, Ozbaz ZY (2010). Citric acid production by yeasts :fermentation conditions, process optimization and strain improvement. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 1(2): 1374-1382.
- Zaman MA, Sorensen P, Howlider MAR (2004). Egg Production Performances of a Breed and Three Crossbreeds under Scavenging System of Management. *Livest. Re, Rural Dev.* 12.