



# Production of Value-Added Meat Patties from Spent Hen Meat by Addition of Kiwi and Pineapple Extracts

AMAL G. ABDELRAHMAN<sup>1</sup>, NABIL A. YASSIEN<sup>1</sup>, HUSSEIN M.H. MOHAMED<sup>1</sup>, KHALED S. TOLBA<sup>2</sup>, HEBA H.S. ABDEL-NAEEM<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Food Hygiene and Control, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University, Giza, 12211, Egypt;

<sup>2</sup>Food Hygiene and Safety in Reference Lab for Food Safety, Animal Health Research Institute, Dokki, ARC.

**Abstract** | Adding value to broiler-spent hen meat by enhancing its texture properties using natural tenderizers will not only provide a good affordable source of protein but will also provide economic benefits to the poultry meat industry. Therefore, this study aims to appropriate utilization of this meat to produce less expensive and highly nutritious value-added chicken meat patties. In this context, five groups of spent hen meat patties were formulated as follows: The first and 2<sup>nd</sup> groups were treated with 5 % and 7 % of kiwi extract, the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> groups were treated with 5 % and 7 % of pineapple extract, meanwhile, the 5<sup>th</sup> group was treated with a mixture of those extracts (5% kiwi + 5 % pineapple) in addition to the control group. All groups were kept in frozen storage at -18 °C for 3 months and subjected to proximate chemical analysis, measurement of deterioration criteria, and sensory quality. The results revealed that all enzyme-treated samples significantly increase protein content and significantly decrease the fat content, pH, and thiobarbituric acid value with a significant improvement in raw and cooked sensory attributes as compared to their counterpart control samples. Such effect was more pronounced in spent hen meat patties treated with a mixture of kiwi (5%) and pineapple (5%).

**Keywords** | Spent hen patties, Tenderization, Proximate chemical analysis, Deterioration criteria, Sensory attributes

**Received** | October 16, 2022; **Accepted** | November 15, 2022; **Published** | December 20, 2022

**\*Correspondence** | Heba H.S. Abdel-Naeem, Department of Food Hygiene and Control, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University, Giza, 12211, Egypt;

**Email:** h.hussein@cu.edu.eg; dr\_hoba.h106@yahoo.com

**Citation** | Abdelrahman AG, Yassien NA, Mohamed HMM, Tolba KS, Abdel-Naeem HHS (2023). Production of value-added meat patties from spent hen meat by addition of kiwi and pineapple extracts. Adv. Anim. Vet. Sci. 11(1): 72-82.

**DOI** | <http://dx.doi.org/10.17582/journal.aavs/2023/11.1.72.82>

**ISSN (Online)** | 2307-8316



**Copyright:** 2023 by the authors. Licensee ResearchersLinks Ltd, England, UK.

This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## INTRODUCTION

Chicken meat has grown much popularity among consumers and its production, marketing, and consumption is increasing to satisfy public demand worldwide. Consumers' acceptability of this meat has increased owing to its low production cost and high nutritional value (Elshebrawy et al., 2022). However, the rapid increase in poultry farming produced a massive availability of spent hen stocks. Meat from the spent hen is highly enriched with a good protein source and omega-3 fatty acids with low cholesterol content (Lee et al., 2003). Conversely, its

meat is usually tougher, dry, and less juicy when compared with broiler meat. Its toughness is linked to increasing connective tissue cross-linking of older animals which prohibited its utilization and market value. Therefore, due to the lower acceptability and poor texture of this meat, it is sold at a lower price in the retail market which reflects on the producer's profits (Mendiratta et al., 2004). Furthermore, most spent hen carcasses are slaughtered, rendered, and converted into protein meals for animal feed owing to their higher protein content (Fan and Wu, 2022).

The disposal of spent broiler hens which comprises transport and labor costs is an economic and environmental is-

sue that faces the poultry meat industry (Freeman et al., 2009). Accordingly, using these hens in the production of value-added meat products with high nutritive value could achieve great profitability for both consumers and producers (Kadioğlu et al., 2019). Challenges in manufacturing different meat products such as patties, sausages, or chicken nuggets from spent hen meat have been reported by Kondaiah and Panda (1992), nonetheless, their popularity is limited due to their firm texture. In this regard, it is essential to develop a suitable technique to improve the less palatable and tough spent hen meat for the production of attractive novel meat products.

Several tenderization methods can be used to improve the texture of the unacceptable tough spent hen meat and among these methods, marination is the most widely applied due to its cost-effective treatment, requires less space, and easy applicable (Arshad et al., 2016). Marination of spent hen meat using natural extracts from fruits such as pineapple and kiwi will increase the opportunities for incorporation of this meat in the manufacture of different meat products particularly to solve the issue related to the great shortage of chicken raw meat materials (Abdel-Naeem et al., 2022a). Pineapple fruit has been reported as a potential source of beneficial bioactive compounds (Ketnawa et al., 2009). It also contains a protein-digesting enzymes group (called cysteine proteinases) which can be used as tenderizing substances in meat. Moreover, kiwi fruit contains a plant thiol protease that has a meat tenderizing effect as well as contains carotenoids and flavonoids which possess great antioxidant activity (Christensen et al., 2009).

Although most of the previous research focused on studying the effect of using different additives such as ginger extract (Hossain et al., 2021), bee honey (Ali et al., 2022), oat flour (Mounika and Sahityarani, 2021), and giloy stem powder (Kumar et al., 2021) on the quality of spent hen meat sausages and patties, to the best of our knowledge, there is limited data on the use of kiwi and pineapple extracts in the processing of spent broiler hen meat patties. Therefore, the main objective of the current study was to assess the effect of using natural extracts of kiwi, pineapple, and their combination on the proximate chemical analysis, deterioration criteria, and sensory attributes of spent broiler hen meat patties.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### STUDY DESIGN

Three independent experiments at different times (3 samples/ each analytical point) were performed to explore the effect of kiwi (5 and 7 %), pineapple (5 and 7 %) extracts, and their combination (5 % kiwi + 5 % pineapple) on the proximate chemical analysis, deterioration criteria, and

sensory attributes of the processed spent hen meat patties.

### ENZYMES PREPARATION

Fresh fruits of pineapple and kiwi were obtained from a local market in Cairo, Egypt, peeled, cut, and mixed for 1–2 minutes with an equal amount of distilled water. The pineapple and kiwi slurries were filtered with muslin cloth and their filtrates were collected as fruit extracts which were used as a source of proteolytic enzymes during the production of spent hen meat patties.

### PREPARATION OF SPENT HEN MEAT PATTIES INGREDIENTS

Dressed spent hen carcasses (ten numbers and 4 kg each) were obtained from a local spent hen plant in Cairo, Egypt, directly transferred to the lab, and stored for 24 h at 4 °C. After storage, muscles of the breast and thigh were separated from the spent hen carcasses, trimmed from the noticeable connective tissue and fat then cut into small cubes (100 g). Common salt and starch were purchased from a local market in Cairo, Egypt while, phosphate salt and seasonings mix were purchased from Loba Chemie (Mumbai, India).

### MARINATION PROCESS

Spent hen meat cubes were immersed into five marinade solutions to obtain five groups as follows: The first and 2<sup>nd</sup> groups were immersed in 5 % and 7 % of kiwi extract however, the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> groups were immersed in 5 % and 7 % of pineapple extract, meanwhile, the 5<sup>th</sup> group was immersed in a mixture of those extracts (5% kiwi + 5 % pineapple) in addition to the control group was immersed in water. All groups were kept in the refrigerator for 24 h at 4 °C.

### FORMULATION, PROCESSING, AND STORAGE OF SPENT HEN MEAT PATTIES

The marinated and non-marinated spent hen meat (75%) were minced using 4.5-mm a plate grinder (NW 114 E, Seydelmann, Stuttgart, Germany). The minced meat from each group was mixed with 18% of water, 1.5% of salt, 0.3% of polyphosphates, 5% of starch, and 0.2% of seasonings. After that, the mixture of each group was formed into 80 g patties with a thickness of 1.5 cm using a patty former then placed in plastic films and stored for 35 min at –40 °C. The frozen spent hen meat patties were inserted in plastic bags, then kept in freezing storage (–18 °C) for 3 months. The samples were taken from each group for analysis at 0-time (2<sup>nd</sup> day) and each month for up to 3 months.

### SPENT HEN MEAT PATTIES INVESTIGATIONS MEASUREMENT OF THE PROXIMATE CHEMICAL ANALYSIS

Moisture, protein, fat, and ash contents (g/100 g) of spent

hen meat patties from each group were measured using the method of AOAC (2000). Moisture contents were determined by drying 3 g of the sample at 100 °C until obtaining two successive fixed weights. In addition, protein content was measured using the Kjeldahl method and a conversion factor of 6.25 to convert nitrogen into crude protein. Moreover, fat content was analyzed using the Soxhlet apparatus, while ash content was analyzed using Muffle Furnace (500 °C for 5 h).

### MEASUREMENT OF THE DETERIORATION CRITERIA

The pH, total volatile base nitrogen (TVBN), and thiobarbituric acid (TBA) of spent hen meat patties from each group were measured at 0-time and monthly during frozen storage. For the determination of pH value, five grams from each sample were homogenized for 10–15 s with 20 ml distilled water, then the pH value was measured using a previously adjusted pH meter (Lovibond Senso Direct) with buffers (7.0 and 4.0). Three reading was obtained from each sample and their average was calculated (Abdel-Naeem et al., 2022b). The TBA value was determined using the method described by Du and Ahn (2002) and expressed as milligrams of malondialdehyde per kilogram of the sample. Furthermore, TVBN (mg%) was determined using a macro-Kjeldahl distillation apparatus according to the method outlined by Kearsley et al. (1983).

### SENSORY ANALYSIS

Sensory examination of raw and cooked spent hen meat patties was performed following the guidelines of the AMSA (1995). Odd numbers of well-trained panelists were selected from Food Hygiene and Control Department at Cairo University, Egypt. The panelists assessed raw spent hen meat patties for appearance, color, odor, consistency, forming, fringe, and overall acceptability, using 9-point numerical scores (9 is highly desirable and 1 is highly undesirable). Nonetheless, for sensory examination of cooked samples, five patties from each group were cooked in a hot air oven (Heraeus D-63,450 Hanau, Germany) at 180 °C and a core temperature of 75 °C. After that, the panelist assessed all groups for appearance, color, flavor, tenderness, juiciness, and overall acceptability using the same numerical scores of raw samples.

### MEASUREMENT OF COOKING LOSS

Cooked spent hen meat patties from each group were cooled after cooking in a hot air oven, and they were re-weighted to determine the cooking loss percentage from the weight, before and after cooking.

### STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

All measurements were analyzed using SPSS statistics for windows 17.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA), expressed as mean ± SE, and compared among different groups using

one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Moreover, the significance was done using the least square difference (LSD) procedure and the differences were considered significant at  $P < 0.05$  levels.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

### PROXIMATE CHEMICAL ANALYSIS

The proximate chemical composition of spent hen patties treated with kiwi (5 and 7%), pineapple (5 and 7%), and a mixture of kiwi (5%) and pineapple (5%) is presented in Table 1. There was a significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) increase in moisture content in samples treated with pineapple (5 and 7%), and a mixture of kiwi (5%) and pineapple (5%) when compared with the control sample. In addition, all enzymes treated samples significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) increase protein content and significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) decrease the fat content. Moreover, there was a significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) decrease in ash content in samples treated with pineapple (7%), and a mixture of kiwi (5%) and pineapple (5%).

Similar results were recorded by Poona et al. (2019), who observed a significant increase in protein and moisture content, and a significant reduction in fat content with a non-significant reduction in ash content in spent hen nuggets treated with kiwi. However, AL-Hameed and AL-Jawary (2017) noticed that treatment of spent hen thigh meat with kiwi juice significantly increased moisture content and significantly decreased protein, and fat as compared with the control treatment. In addition, a significant increase in protein and fat with non-significant change in ash was observed in spent hen sausage treated with 2% bee honey (Ali et al., 2022) and 2% ginger extract (Hossain et al., 2021). Furthermore, Sabikun et al. (2021) reported a non-significant change in protein and fat contents in spent hen chicken nuggets treated with 5% milk fat as compared with control samples. The moisture content was significantly increased in spent hen chicken patties treated with egg powders (Kalaikannan et al., 2007) and in spent hen meat tenderized by bitter orange juice, vinegar, salt, and sugar (Al-Hajo, 2009). Conversely, a significant decrease in moisture content was observed in the chicken breast treated with protease enzyme (Rawdkuen et al., 2013). The significant increase in moisture content in pineapple-treated spent hen meat patties owing to the hydrophilic properties of bromelain in pineapple fruit which increase the moisture absorption inside the product (Ketnawa and Rawdkuen, 2011).

It was noteworthy that the proximate chemical analysis was affected by the species and breed. For instance, Biswas et al. (2006) compared the quality of chicken and duck patties prepared from broiler, spent hen, and duck. The authors recorded that patties prepared from broiler meat showed



**Table 1:** Proximate chemical analysis of spent hen patties treated with kiwi (5 and 7%), pineapple (5 and 7%), and a mixture of kiwi (5%) and pineapple (5%).

	Moisture (g%)	Protein (g%)	Fat (g%)	Ash (g%)
Control	69.54 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.06	15.53 <sup>d</sup> ± 0.26	12.62 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.19	2.28 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.05
Kiwi 5%	69.77 <sup>bc</sup> ± 0.18	17.73 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.21	10.04 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.02	2.44 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.01
Kiwi 7%	69.60 <sup>bc</sup> ± 0.14	18.27 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.09	9.64 <sup>bc</sup> ± 0.31	2.46 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.03
Pineapple 5%	70.06 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.16	18.53 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.22	9.45 <sup>cd</sup> ± 0.26	1.95 <sup>bc</sup> ± 0.15
Pineapple 7%	70.41 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.13	19.17 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.26	9.00 <sup>d</sup> ± 0.01	1.42 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.12
Kiwi 5% & Pineapple 5%	70.30 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.12	18.74 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.24	9.07 <sup>cd</sup> ± 0.08	1.63 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.17

<sup>a-d</sup> Means with different superscripts within the same column significantly ( $P < 0.05$  or  $P < 0.01$ ) different Values represent the mean ± SE.

significantly the highest moisture content, while the significantly higher fat content was recorded in duck patties. Likewise, [Indumathi et al. \(2019\)](#) found that sausages made from spent broiler hen meat had significantly superior proximate analysis than sausages made from broiler meat. Additionally, [Loetscher et al. \(2014\)](#) observed that fat content was lower in sausages prepared from the meat of Institut de Sélection Animale warren spent hens than those from Dekalb White spent hen.

#### DETERIORATION CRITERIA

The obtained results revealed that all enzymes treated-spent hen patties induced significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) reduction in pH value as compared with control samples. Among all treated samples, spent hen patties treated with kiwi 7% had the lowest pH value (Table 2). A similar pH drop in kiwi treated sample, in this study, was observed in spent hen nuggets marinated with kiwi ([Poona et al., 2019](#)) and in pork loin muscle treated with kiwi fruit juice ([Liu et al., 2011](#)). This was explained by the acidic pH of kiwi fruit ([Fernández-Sestelo et al., 2013](#)). However, [AL-Hameed and AL-Jawary \(2017\)](#) recorded a non-significant decrease in pH value of 50% kiwi-treated spent hens meat. Furthermore, the significant decrease in pH value in the pineapple-treated sample, in the current study, is in harmony with [Hussain et al. \(2022\)](#), who observed a significant decrease in pH value in broiler breast meat macerated with 100% pineapple core extract for 35 min. Moreover, [Kadioğlu et al. \(2019\)](#) noticed a significant decrease in the pH of pineapple fruit treated spent hens with increasing marination time. Such finding is owing to the proteolytic activity of bromelain enzyme in pineapple fruit with subsequent release of amino acids. This observation was supported by [Ketnawa and Rawduken \(2011\)](#), who found that bromelain makes hydrolyses for the muscle protein and leads to the release of amino acids which results in a decrease in the meat's pH. Treatment of spent hen patties with kiwi (7%), pineapple (5 and 7%), and a mixture of kiwi (5%) and pineapple (5%) resulted in a significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) increase in TVBN at zero time of examination and during the entire frozen storage (Table 3). However, treatment of spent hen patties

with kiwi (5%) induced a significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) increase in TVBN at only the end of the storage period (Table 3). Such observation is due to the degradation of protein into volatile nitrogen compounds with subsequent increase in TVBN by the action of the proteolytic enzymes in kiwi and pineapple fruits. This was confirmed by [Zhang et al. \(2011\)](#), who found that TVBN is formed by the degradation of protein into volatile bases through the action of endogenous enzymes. Furthermore, the TVBN value of all enzyme-treated spent hen meat patties as well as control samples was increased with an increase in the storage time (Table 3). This was in harmony with that reported in kiwi-treated beef stored at 4 °C for 7 days ([Jiao et al., 2020](#)). Our results revealed that all enzyme-treated spent hen patties exerted a significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) decrease in TBA value when compared with control samples and such effect was more pronounced in samples treated with kiwi (5% and 7%) as well as samples treated with a mixture of kiwi (5%) and pineapple (5%; Table 4). It is also clear that pH value, TVBN and TBA values increased with increasing the storage period as such effects were more pronounced in the control untreated spent hen patties (Tables 2, 3, and 4). Similar to the obtained results, [Poona et al. \(2019\)](#) observed a significant reduction in TBA of spent hen meat nuggets treated with kiwi which is related to its antioxidant properties. Moreover, [Abdel-Wahab et al. \(2020\)](#) found that kiwi reduces lipid and protein oxidation of the marinated fish and they attributed this effect to its content of bioactive compounds which have great antioxidant activity. In the same regard, [Devoli et al. \(2015\)](#) noticed that kiwi fruit is a good source of numerous natural antioxidant bioactive compounds such as ascorbic acid, carotenoids, and phenolics. A lower TBA value in pineapple-treated spent hen meat than the control sample was reported by [Kantale et al. \(2019\)](#) owing to the natural antioxidant effect of polyphenols and flavonoids in pineapple peel. Additionally, a significant reduction in TBA value was reported in spent hen sausages treated with bee honey ([Ali et al., 2022](#)), spent hen sausages treated with the ginger extract ([Hossain et al., 2021](#)), trans-cinnamaldehyde treated meat patties ([Naveena et al., 2014](#)), and dried yolk and albumen

**Table 2:** pH values of spent hen patties treated with kiwi (5 and 7%), pineapple (5 and 7%), and a mixture of kiwi (5%) and pineapple (5%) during frozen ( $-18^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) storage for 3 months.

Treatments	Storage period (months)			
	0-time	1 <sup>st</sup> month	2 <sup>nd</sup> month	3 <sup>rd</sup> month
Control	6.13 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.03	6.24 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.01	6.34 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.01	6.39 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.01
Kiwi 5%	5.73 <sup>d</sup> $\pm$ 0.06	5.91 <sup>d</sup> $\pm$ 0.04	6.14 <sup>d</sup> $\pm$ 0.01	6.21 <sup>d</sup> $\pm$ 0.01
Kiwi 7%	5.48 <sup>e</sup> $\pm$ 0.01	5.73 <sup>e</sup> $\pm$ 0.05	5.88 <sup>e</sup> $\pm$ 0.02	6.13 <sup>e</sup> $\pm$ 0.00
Pineapple 5%	6.01 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.04	6.08 <sup>bc</sup> $\pm$ 0.00	6.19 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.00	6.22 <sup>cd</sup> $\pm$ 0.01
Pineapple 7%	6.00 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.02	6.06 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.02	6.18 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.00	6.24 <sup>bc</sup> $\pm$ 0.02
Kiwi 5% & Pineapple 5%	5.87 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.03	6.08 <sup>bc</sup> $\pm$ 0.01	6.22 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.01	6.26 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.01

<sup>a-e</sup> Means with different superscripts within the same column significantly ( $P < 0.05$  or  $P < 0.01$ ) different  
Values represent the mean  $\pm$  SE

**Table 3:** Total volatile base nitrogen (mg%) values of spent hen patties treated with kiwi (5 and 7%), pineapple (5 and 7%), and a mixture of kiwi (5%) and pineapple (5%) during frozen ( $-18^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) storage for 3 months.

Treatments	Storage period (months)			
	0-time	1 <sup>st</sup> month	2 <sup>nd</sup> month	3 <sup>rd</sup> month
Control	2.62 <sup>d</sup> $\pm$ 0.14	3.86 <sup>d</sup> $\pm$ 0.19	6.51 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.20	12.08 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.33
Kiwi 5%	2.94 <sup>cd</sup> $\pm$ 0.04	3.77 <sup>cd</sup> $\pm$ 0.60	7.32 <sup>ab</sup> $\pm$ 0.30	14.08 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.96
Kiwi 7%	3.25 <sup>bc</sup> $\pm$ 0.16	4.63 <sup>bc</sup> $\pm$ 0.31	7.58 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.26	14.33 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.88
Pineapple 5%	3.89 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.05	5.40 <sup>ab</sup> $\pm$ 0.16	7.83 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.43	14.18 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.09
Pineapple 7%	3.95 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.03	5.81 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.04	7.84 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.12	14.60 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.28
Kiwi 5% & Pineapple 5%	3.40 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.21	5.16 <sup>ab</sup> $\pm$ 0.01	7.51 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.22	14.18 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.60

<sup>a-d</sup> Means with different superscripts within the same column significantly ( $P < 0.05$  or  $P < 0.01$ ) different  
Values represent the mean  $\pm$  SE

**Table 4:** Thiobarbituric acid (mg/kg) values of spent hen patties treated with kiwi (5 and 7%), pineapple (5 and 7%), and a mixture of kiwi (5%) and pineapple (5%) during frozen ( $-18^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) storage for 3 months.

Treatments	Storage period (months)			
	0-time	1 <sup>st</sup> month	2 <sup>nd</sup> month	3 <sup>rd</sup> month
Control	0.26 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.02	0.38 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.04	0.75 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.01	0.88 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.01
Kiwi 5%	0.10 <sup>bcd</sup> $\pm$ 0.01	0.14 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.01	0.27 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.01	0.36 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.01
Kiwi 7%	0.08 <sup>cd</sup> $\pm$ 0.02	0.13 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.01	0.22 <sup>d</sup> $\pm$ 0.00	0.33 <sup>cd</sup> $\pm$ 0.01
Pineapple 5%	0.15 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.01	0.26 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.01	0.34 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.01	0.45 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.02
Pineapple 7%	0.13 <sup>bc</sup> $\pm$ 0.01	0.23 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.01	0.30 <sup>bc</sup> $\pm$ 0.02	0.42 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.02
Kiwi 5% & Pineapple 5%	0.07 <sup>d</sup> $\pm$ 0.00	0.13 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.01	0.19 <sup>d</sup> $\pm$ 0.02	0.29 <sup>d</sup> $\pm$ 0.01

<sup>a-d</sup> Means with different superscripts within the same column significantly ( $P < 0.05$  or  $P < 0.01$ ) different  
Values represent the mean  $\pm$  SE

treated spent hens meat patties (Kalaikannan et al., 2007). Conversely, the addition of milk fat (8-10%) did not significantly affect the oxidative stability of spent hen meat during storage (Sabikun et al., 2021). The quality of chicken and duck patties processed from broiler, spent hen, and the duck was compared by Biswas et al. (2006) and they recorded higher TBA values in duck patties than spent hen and broiler. Such observation is owing to a higher fat content in duck meat as compared to chicken meat with subsequent oxidation in the concerned patties (Rao and Reddy, 2000).

## SENSORY QUALITY

The sensory scores of raw and cooked spent hen meat patties treated with 5 and 7% of each kiwi and pineapple and a mixture of them (5% kiwi + 5% pineapple) are presented in Table 5-6. All enzyme treated-samples induced a significant improvement in all raw and cooked sensory attributes as compared to their counterpart control samples and this finding was more pronounced in spent hen patties treated with a mixture of kiwi (5%) and pineapple (5%). Nevertheless, lower consumer acceptability was observed in 7% pineapple-treated samples and this may be due to

**Table 5:** Sensory analysis of raw spent hen patties treated with kiwi (5 and 7%), pineapple (5 and 7%), and a mixture of kiwi (5%) and pineapple (5%) during frozen (−18 °C) storage for 3 months.

Treatments	Storage period (months)			
	0-time	1 <sup>st</sup> month	2 <sup>nd</sup> month	3 <sup>rd</sup> month
Appearance				
Control	6.67 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33	5.33 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.67	4.67 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.33	4.00 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.00
Kiwi 5%	8.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.00	7.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	7.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33
Kiwi 7%	8.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.00	7.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	7.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33
Pineapple 5%	7.33 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33	7.00 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.00	6.33 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33	6.00 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.58
Pineapple 7%	7.00 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.00	6.67 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33	6.00 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.00	5.67 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33
Kiwi 5% & Pineapple 5%	8.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	7.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	7.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33
Color				
Control	5.67 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.33	4.33 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.33	3.67 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.33	2.67 <sup>d</sup> ± 0.33
Kiwi 5%	8.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	7.67 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.33	7.33 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.33	7.00 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.58
Kiwi 7%	8.00 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.00	7.67 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.33	7.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	7.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33
Pineapple 5%	7.33 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33	7.00 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.00	6.33 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33	5.67 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.33
Pineapple 7%	7.33 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33	7.00 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.00	6.33 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33	6.00 <sup>bc</sup> ± 0.00
Kiwi 5% & Pineapple 5%	8.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.00
Odor				
Control	4.33 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33	3.67 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33	3.00 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.58	2.67 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33
Kiwi 5%	8.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.00	7.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	7.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33
Kiwi 7%	8.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.58	7.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33
Pineapple 5%	8.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.00	7.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	7.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.58
Pineapple 7%	8.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.00	7.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33
Kiwi 5% & Pineapple 5%	9.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.00	8.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.58
Consistency				
Control	4.33 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.33	3.33 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.33	3.00 <sup>d</sup> ± 0.00	2.33 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.33
Kiwi 5%	8.33 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.33	8.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.20	7.67 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.33	7.33 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.33
Kiwi 7%	8.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.58
Pineapple 5%	8.00 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.33	7.33 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33	7.00 <sup>bc</sup> ± 0.00	6.67 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33
Pineapple 7%	7.67 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33	7.00 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.00	6.67 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.33	6.33 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33
Kiwi 5% & Pineapple 5%	8.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.58
Forming				
Control	7.67 <sup>bc</sup> ± 0.33	7.00 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.00	6.33 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.33	6.00 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.58
Kiwi 5%	8.33 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.33	8.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.58	7.67 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.33	7.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33
Kiwi 7%	8.33 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.33	7.67 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.33	7.67 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.33	7.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33
Pineapple 5%	7.33 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.33	7.00 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.58	6.67 <sup>bc</sup> ± 0.33	6.67 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.33
Pineapple 7%	7.00 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.00	6.67 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33	6.00 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.58	6.00 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.00
Kiwi 5% & Pineapple 5%	8.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.00	8.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.00	7.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33
Fringe				
Control	7.00 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.00	6.67 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33	5.67 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33	5.33 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33
Kiwi 5%	8.00 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.00	7.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	7.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	7.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33
Kiwi 7%	8.00 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.00	7.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	7.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	7.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33
Pineapple 5%	6.67 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.33	6.33 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33	6.33 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33	6.00 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.58
Pineapple 7%	6.67 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.33	6.00 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.00	6.00 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.00	5.67 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33
Kiwi 5% & Pineapple 5%	9.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.00	8.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.00	7.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33
Overall-acceptability				

Control	5.00 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.58	4.00 <sup>d</sup> ± 0.58	3.67 <sup>d</sup> ± 0.67	3.33 <sup>d</sup> ± 0.33
Kiwi 5%	8.33 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.33	7.67 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.33	7.33 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.33	7.00 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.00
Kiwi 7%	8.00 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.58	8.00 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.58	7.67 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.33	7.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33
Pineapple 5%	7.67 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.33	7.00 <sup>bc</sup> ± 0.00	6.67 <sup>bc</sup> ± 0.33	6.33 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33
Pineapple 7%	7.00 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.58	6.00 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.00	5.67 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.33	5.33 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.33
Kiwi 5% & Pineapple 5%	8.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.00	7.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33

<sup>a-d</sup> Means with different superscripts within the same column significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) different

Values represent the mean ± SE

**Table 6:** Sensory analysis of cooked spent hen patties treated with kiwi (5 and 7%), pineapple (5 and 7%), and a mixture of kiwi (5%) and pineapple (5%) during frozen (−18 °C) storage for 3 months.

Treatments	Storage period (months)			
	0-time	1 <sup>st</sup> month	2 <sup>nd</sup> month	3 <sup>rd</sup> month
Appearance				
Control	6.33 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.33	5.00 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.58	4.33 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.33	3.67 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.33
Kiwi 5%	9.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.00	8.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.58	7.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33
Kiwi 7%	8.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.58	7.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33
Pineapple 5%	7.67 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33	7.33 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.33	6.67 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.33	6.33 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33
Pineapple 7%	7.33 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33	7.00 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.00	6.33 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33	6.00 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.00
Kiwi 5% & Pineapple 5%	9.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.00	8.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.58	7.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33
Color				
Control	6.00 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.00	4.67 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33	4.00 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.00	3.33 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.33
Kiwi 5%	8.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.00	7.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	7.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33
Kiwi 7%	8.33 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.33	8.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.00	8.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.00	7.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33
Pineapple 5%	7.67 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33	7.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	6.67 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33	6.00 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.00
Pineapple 7%	7.67 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33	7.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	6.67 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33	6.00 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.00
Kiwi 5% & Pineapple 5%	8.33 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.33	8.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.00	8.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.00	7.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33
Flavor				
Control	4.67 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33	3.33 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33	2.67 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33	2.33 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33
Kiwi 5%	8.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.00	7.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33
Kiwi 7%	8.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.58
Pineapple 5%	8.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	7.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	7.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33
Pineapple 7%	8.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.58
Kiwi 5% & Pineapple 5%	8.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33
Tenderness				
Control	4.67 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.33	3.67 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.33	3.33 <sup>d</sup> ± 0.33	2.33 <sup>d</sup> ± 0.33
Kiwi 5%	8.67 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.33	8.33 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.33	8.00 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.00	7.67 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.33
Kiwi 7%	9.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.00	8.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33
Pineapple 5%	8.33 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.33	7.67 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.33	7.33 <sup>bc</sup> ± 0.33	7.00 <sup>bc</sup> ± 0.00
Pineapple 7%	8.00 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.00	7.33 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33	7.00 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.00	6.67 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.33
Kiwi 5% & Pineapple 5%	9.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.00	8.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33
Juiciness				
Control	4.33 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.33	3.33 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.33	3.00 <sup>d</sup> ± 0.00	2.67 <sup>d</sup> ± 0.33
Kiwi 5%	8.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.00 <sup>bc</sup> ± 0.00	7.67 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.33	7.33 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.33
Kiwi 7%	9.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.67 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.33	8.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.58	8.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.58
Pineapple 5%	7.67 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33	7.33 <sup>cd</sup> ± 0.33	6.67 <sup>bc</sup> ± 0.33	6.33 <sup>bc</sup> ± 0.33
Pineapple 7%	7.33 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33	6.67 <sup>d</sup> ± 0.33	6.33 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.33	6.00 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.00

Kiwi 5% & Pineapple 5%	9.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	9.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.00	8.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33
Overall-acceptability				
Control	4.67 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.33	3.67 <sup>d</sup> ± 0.33	3.33 <sup>d</sup> ± 0.33	3.00 <sup>d</sup> ± 0.00
Kiwi 5%	8.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.00 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.00	7.67 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.33	7.33 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.33
Kiwi 7%	8.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.33 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.33	8.00 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.00	7.67 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.33
Pineapple 5%	8.33 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.33	7.67 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33	7.33 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33	7.00 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.00
Pineapple 7%	7.67 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.33	6.67 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.33	6.00 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.00	5.67 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.33
Kiwi 5% & Pineapple 5%	9.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.00	8.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.33	8.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.00

<sup>a-c</sup> Means with different superscripts within the same row for each parameter are significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) different.

Values represent the mean of 3 independent replicates ± SE

**Table 7:** Cooking loss percentage of spent hen patties treated with kiwi (5 and 7%), pineapple (5 and 7%), and a mixture of kiwi (5%) and pineapple (5%) during frozen (−18 °C) storage for 3 months.

Treatments	Storage period (months)			
	0-time	1 <sup>st</sup> month	2 <sup>nd</sup> month	3 <sup>rd</sup> month
Control	13.85 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.67	17.25 <sup>d</sup> ± 0.21	20.11 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.35	27.96 <sup>d</sup> ± 0.41
Kiwi 5%	14.18 <sup>bc</sup> ± 0.67	19.47 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.30	23.75 <sup>d</sup> ± 0.57	31.53 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.37
Kiwi 7%	15.51 <sup>bc</sup> ± 0.34	22.47 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.91	25.08 <sup>cd</sup> ± 0.30	33.86 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.52
Pineapple 5%	20.67 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.72	26.14 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.49	27.08 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.30	36.26 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.31
Pineapple 7%	22.34 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.05	27.35 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.60	28.68 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.49	37.04 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.27
Kiwi 5% & Pineapple 5%	16.18 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.26	23.14 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.69	25.75 <sup>bc</sup> ± 0.53	34.53 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.27

<sup>a-c</sup> Means with different superscripts within the same column significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) different

Values represent the mean ± SE

over-tenderization induced by using a higher concentration of pineapple (7%) with a consequent decrease in the forming score and formation of fringe.

Similar findings are reported in pineapple fruit-treated spent hen meat (Kang et al., 2012; Kadioğlu et al., 2019) and kiwifruit-treated spent hen meat (Sharma and Vaidya, 2018). Furthermore, our results are also in good agreement with that reported in spent hen meat products. For instance, the incorporation of kiwifruit extract in spent hen chicken nuggets (Pooona et al., 2019) and spent hen chicken emulsion (Singh et al., 2021) resulted in significant improvement in their sensory score. In addition, a significant improvement in the sensory attributes of pork dry sausage treated with pineapple and kiwi fruits was reported by Żochowska-Kujawska et al. (2013). It is noteworthy that the significant improvement in the juiciness scores of kiwi and pineapple-treated samples may be attributed to the lower pH value (Table 2). Likewise, the significant improvement in their tenderness scores is owing to the proteolytic effect of bromelain in pineapple and actinidin enzymes in kiwi fruits. Moreover, the significant improvement in the flavor score in kiwi and pineapple-treated samples may be due to the formation of peptides and amino which are precursors of flavor compounds as a consequence of the proteolytic activity of bromelain and actinidin enzymes. Such observation was also confirmed by Demeyer et al. (1995) and Fadda et al. (2001), who reported that the proteolysis pro-

cess improves the flavor score due to the formation of low molecular weight compounds such as peptides and amino.

### COOKING LOSS%

Our findings, in the current study, showed that there was a significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) increase in cooking loss% in spent hen patties treated with kiwi (5% and 7%) starting from the first month of freezing storage and in spent hen patties treated with pineapple (5 and 7%), and a mixture of kiwi (5%) and pineapple (5%) at zero time of examination and during the entire store period (Table 7).

The obtained results are in consistent with Kadioğlu et al. (2019), who noticed that treatment of spent hen meat with pineapple significantly increases cooking loss%. Moreover, Singh et al. (2018) found that treatment of chicken and beef meats with bromelain enzyme extracted from pineapple wastes significantly increases cooking loss value, and such value increase more with the increase of bromelain enzyme percentage. In the same regard, Ketnawa and Rawdkuen (2011) investigated the tenderizing effects of bromelain extract on the cooking yields of chicken and beef and noticed a significant decrease in the cooking yield% particularly when the concentration of bromelain enzyme increased. Nonetheless, Rawdkuen et al. (2013) observed a non-significant change in cooking yield% of the chicken breast samples treated with protease extracted from Calotropis Procera latex. Furthermore, a non-significant



difference in the cooking loss% was recorded in spent hen sausages treated with bee honey (Ali et al., 2022), spent hen sausages treated with the ginger extract (Hossain et al., 2021), and spent hen meat patties treated with trans-cinnamaldehyde (Naveena et al., 2014). The significant increase in the cooking loss% in all treated spent hen patties samples, in this study, is attributed to the proteolytic effect of bromelain in pineapple and actinidin enzymes in kiwi fruits as well as their lower pH value that prompts protein denaturation and decreases their ability to hold the water.

## CONCLUSIONS

Spent hen meat is a valuable source of protein however; the most significant issue associated with this meat is its extreme toughness owing to increasing connective tissue cross-linking with age which prohibited its utilization and market value. Therefore, kiwi and pineapple extracts were used for the tenderization of such meat and after that used for the production of spent hen meat patties. The results demonstrated that all enzyme-treated samples significantly improve the nutritional quality, lipid stability, and sensory attributes of the processed meat patties, particularly in samples treated with a mixture of kiwi (5%) and pineapple (5%). In conclusion, kiwi and pineapple extracts can be utilized by poultry meat processors to enhance consumer acceptance of this meat and to increase its suitability as a raw material for further meat processing.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors have declared no conflict of interest.

## NOVELTY STATEMENT

Solving the issue related to the unacceptable texture of broiler-spent hen meat using natural tenderizers such as kiwi and pineapple extracts will not only provide a good affordable source of protein but also will provide economic benefits to the poultry meat industry. However, most of the previous research focused on studying the effect of using other additives such as ginger extract, bee honey, oat flour, and giloy stem powder on the quality of spent hen meat products and there is limited data on the use of kiwi and pineapple extracts in the processing of spent broiler hen meat patties. Therefore, this is the first study that was conducted to assess the effect of using natural extracts of kiwi, pineapple, and their combination on the proximate chemical analysis, deterioration criteria, and sensory attributes of spent broiler hen meat patties. From the obtained results, it is noteworthy that the incorporation of kiwi and pineapple extracts into spent hen meat patties adds value to such product, and improves its nutritional quality, oxidative stability as well as sensory quality.

All authors shared the same effort during performing this study

## REFERENCES

- Abdel-Naeem HH, Abdelrahman AG, Imre K, Morar A, Herman V, Yassien NA (2022a). Improving the structural changes, electrophoretic pattern, and quality attributes of spent hen meat patties by using kiwi and pineapple extracts. *Foods*, 11(21): 3430. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods11213430>
- Abdel-Naeem HH, Ebaid EM, Khalel KH, Imre K, Morar A, Herman V, EL-Nawawi FA (2022b). Decontamination of chicken meat using dielectric barrier discharge cold plasma technology: The effect on microbial quality, physicochemical properties, topographical structure, and sensory attributes. *LWT – Food Sci. Technol.*, 113739. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2022.113739>
- Abdel-Wahab M, El-Sohaimy SA, Ibrahim HA, El-Makarem HSA (2020). Evaluation the efficacy of clove, sage and kiwifruit peels extracts as natural preservatives for fish fingers. *Ann. Agric. Sci.*, 65(1): 98–106. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2020.06.002>
- Al-Hajo NAN (2009). Using different methods to tenderize spent hens meat. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.*, 8(1): 99–103. <https://doi.org/10.3923/ijps.2009.99.103>
- Al-Hameed SAHM, Al-Jawary HARS (2017). Improvement some of chemical and physical properties of the spent hens meat by using natural tenderizers materials. *Int. J. Adv. Biol. Res.*, 7 (4): 798–803.
- Ali MS, Rahman MM, Habib M, Kabir MH, Hashem MA, Azad MAK (2022). Quality of spent hen sausages incorporated with bee honey. *Meat Research*, 2(1): 9. <https://doi.org/10.55002/mr.2.1.9>
- AMSA “American Meat Science Association” (1995). Research Guidelines for Cookery, Sensory Evaluation and Instrumental Tenderness Measurements of Fresh Meat; American Meat Science Association: Chicago, IL, USA.
- AOAC “Association of Official Analytical Chemists”. (2000). Official methods of analysis (17th ed.). Washington, DC, USA: Association of Official Analytical Chemists.
- Arshad MS, Kwon JH, Imran M, Sohaib M, Aslam A, Nawaz I, Amjad Z, Khan U, Javed M (2016). Plant and bacterial proteases: A key towards improving meat tenderization, a mini review. *Cogent Food Agric.*, 2(1): 1261780. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311932.2016.1261780>
- Biswas S, Chakraborty A, Sarkar S (2006). Comparison among the qualities of patties prepared from chicken broiler, spent hen and duck meats. *J. Poult. Sci.*, 43(2): 180–186. <https://doi.org/10.2141/jpsa.43.180>
- Christensen M, Tørngren MA, Gunvig A, Rozlosnik N, Lametsch R, Karlsson AH, Ertbjerg P (2009). Injection of marinade with actinidin increases tenderness of porcine M. biceps femoris and affects myofibrils and connective tissue. *J. Sci. Food Agric.*, 89: 1607–1614. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.3633>
- Devoli L, Moscatello S, Lucarini M, Aguzzi A, Gabrielli P, Proietti S, Battistelli A, Famiani F, Böhm V, Lombardi-Boccia G (2015). Nutritional traits and antioxidant capacity of kiwifruit (*Actinidia deliciosa* Planch., cv. Hayward) grown in Italy. *J. Food Compost. Anal.*, 37: 25–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2015.06.002>

[doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2014.06.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2014.06.012)

- Demeyer D, Blom H, Hinrichsen L, Johansson G, Molly K, Montel MC (1995). Interaction of lactic acid bacteria with muscle enzymes for safety and quality of fermented meat products. In Proceedings of lactic acid bacteria conference (pp. 1–18). Cork, Ireland.
- Du M, Ahn DU (2002). Effect of antioxidants on the quality of irradiated sausages prepared with turkey thigh meat. *Poult. Sci.*, 81: 1251–1256. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ps/81.8.1251>
- Elshebrawy HA, Abdel-Naem HH, Mahros MA, Elsayed H, Imre K, Herman V, Morar A, Sallam KI (2022). Multidrug-resistant *Salmonella enterica* serovars isolated from frozen chicken carcasses. *LWT – Food Sci. Technol.*, 113647. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2022.113647>
- Fadda S, Vignolo G, Aristoy MC, Oliver G, Toldrá F (2001). Effect of curing conditions and *Lactobacillus casei* CRL705 on the hydrolysis of meat proteins. *J. Appl. Microbiol.*, 91: 478–487. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2672.2001.01408.x>
- Fan H, Wu J (2022). Conventional use and sustainable valorization of spent egg-laying hens as functional foods and biomaterials: A review. *Bioresour. Bioprocess.*, 9(1): 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40643-022-00529-z>
- Fernández-Sestelo A, de Saá RS, Pérez-Lamela C, Torrado-Agrasar A, Rúa ML, Pastrana-Castro L (2013). Overall quality properties in pressurized kiwi purée: microbial, physicochemical, nutritive and sensory tests during refrigerated storage. *Innov. Food Sci. Emerg. Technol.*, 20: 64–72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifset.2013.06.009>
- Freeman SR, Poore MH, Middleton TF, Ferket PR (2009). Alternative methods for disposal of spent laying hens: Evaluation of the efficacy of grinding, mechanical deboning, and of keratinase in the rendering process. *Bioresour. Technol.*, 100(19): 4515–4520. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2009.01.077>
- Hossain MS, Rokib M, Habib M, Kabir MH, Hashem MA, Azad MAK, Ali MS (2021). Quality of spent hen sausages incorporated with fresh ginger extract. *Meat Research*, 1(1): 4. <https://doi.org/10.55002/mr.1.1.4>
- Hussain N, Weng CH, Munawar N (2022). Effects of different concentrations of pineapple core extract and maceration process on free-range chicken meat quality. *Ital. J. Food Sci.*, 34: 124–131. <https://doi.org/10.15586/ijfs.v34i1.2086>
- Indumathi J, Shashikumar M, Reddy GVB, Babu AJ, Prakash MG (2019). Utilization of spent broiler breeder hen meat to develop value added sausages. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci.*, 8(12): 754–765. <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2019.812.099>
- Jiao Y, Quek SY, Gu M, Guo Y, Liu Y (2020). Polyphenols from thinned young kiwifruit as natural antioxidant: Protective effects on beef oxidation, physicochemical and sensory properties during storage. *Food Control*. 108: 106870. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2019.106870>
- Kadioğlu P, Karakaya M, Unal K, Babaoğlu AS (2019). Technological and textural properties of spent chicken breast, drumstick and thigh meats as affected by marinating with pineapple fruit juice. *Br. Poult. Sci.*, 60(4): 381–387. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00071668.2019.1621990>
- Kalaikannan A, Anjaneyulu ASR, Santhi D (2007). Effect of egg proteins on the quality and refrigerated storage life of chicken patties made with broiler-spent hen meat and by-products. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.*, 42(5): 579–586. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.2006.01278.x>
- Kang GH, Kim SH, Kim JH, Kang HK, Kim DW, Seong PN, Cho SH, Park BY, Kim DH (2012). Effect of *Flammulina velutipes* on spent-hen breast meat tenderization. *Poult. Sci.* 91(1): 232–236. <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.2011-01624>
- Kantale RA, Kumar P, Mehta N, Chatli MK, Malav OP, Kaur A, Wagh RV (2019). Comparative efficacy of synthetic and natural tenderizers on quality characteristics of restructured spent hen meat slices (RSHS). *Food Sci. Anim. Resour.*, 39(1): 121–138. <https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2019.e10>
- Kearsley MW, EL-Khatib L, Gunu COKA (1983). Rapid determination of total volatile nitrogen in fish and meat. *Association of Public Analysts*, 21: 123–128.
- Ketnawa S, Rawdkuen S (2011). Application of bromelain extract for muscle foods tenderization. *Food Nutr. Sci.*, 2: 393–401. <https://doi.org/10.4236/fns.2011.25055>
- Ketnawa S, Sai-Ut S, Theppakorn T, Chaiwut P, Rawdkuen S (2009). Partitioning of Bromelain from Pineapple peel (Nang Lae cultv.) by Aqueous Two Phase System. *Asian J. Food Agro-Ind.*, 2: 457–468.
- Kondaiah N, Panda B (1992). Processing and utilization of spent hens. *World's Poult. Sci. J.*, 48: 255–268. <https://doi.org/10.1079/WPS19920020>
- Kumar P, Kaur S, Goswami M, Singh S, Sharma A, Mehta N (2021): Antioxidant and antimicrobial efficacy of giloy (*tinospora cordifolia*) stem powder in spent hen meat patties under aerobic packaging at refrigeration temperature (4±1°C). *J. Food Process. Preserv.*, 45 (10): e15772. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpp.15772>
- Lee SO, Min JS, Kim IS, Lee M (2003). Physical evaluation of poppedsnacks from spent hen meat. *Meat Sci.*, 64: 383–390. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0309-1740\(02\)00199-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0309-1740(02)00199-7)
- Liu C, Xiong YL, Rentfrow GK (2011). Kiwifruit protease extract injection reduces toughness of pork loin muscle induced by freeze-thaw abuse. *LWT – Food Sci. Technol.*, 44: 2026–2031. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2011.05.019>
- Loetscher Y, Kreuzer M, Albiker D, Stephan R, Messikommer RE (2014). Effect of replacing dietary vitamin E by sage on performance and meatiness of spent hens, and the oxidative stability of sausages produced from their meat. *Br. Poult. Sci.*, 55(5): 576–584. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00071668.2014.946885>
- Mendiratta SK, Anjaneyulu ASR, LakshananV, Devatkal S (2004). Comparative efficacy of different tenderizing agents for tenderization of spent hen meat. *Indian J. Poult. Sci.*, 39: 37–42.
- Mounika T, Sahityarani M (2021). Development and quality evaluation of oat flour incorporated spent hen meat balls. *Pharma Innov. J.*, 10(8): 289–291.
- Naveena BM, Muthukumar M, Sen AR, Praveen Kumar Y, Kiran M (2014). Use of cinnamaldehyde as a potential antioxidant in ground spent hen meat. *J. Food Process. Preserv.*, 38: 1911–1917. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpp.12163>
- Poona J, Singh P, Prabhakaran P (2019). Effect of kiwifruit juice and tumbling on tenderness and lipid oxidation in chicken nuggets. *Nutr. Food Sci.*, 50(1): 74–83. <https://doi.org/10.1108/NFS-12-2018-0352>
- Rao BJ, Reddy KP (2000). Influence of binders and refrigerated storage on the quality of chicken meat loaves. *Indian J. Poult. Sci.*, 35: 302–305.
- Rawdkuen S, Jaimakreu M, Benjakul S (2013). Physicochemical properties and tenderness of meat samples using proteolytic extract from *Calotropis procera* latex. *Food Chem.*, 136(2): 909–916. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.08.077>
- Sabikun N, Bakhsh A, Rahman MS, Hwang YH, Joo ST

- (2021). Volatile and nonvolatile taste compounds and their correlation with umami and flavor characteristics of chicken nuggets added with milkfat and potato mash. Food Chem., 343: 128499. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.128499>
- Sharma S, Vaidya D (2018). Application of kiwifruit protease enzyme for tenderization of spent hen chicken. J. pharmacogn. phytochem., 7: 581–584. <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.702.001>
- Singh B, Wagh RV, Chatli MK, Mehta N (2021). Optimization of ethanol-assisted extraction of kiwi peel and antioxidant activity in chicken emulsion. Haryana Vet., 60(2): 203–207.
- Singh TA, Sarangi PK, Singh NJ (2018). Tenderisation of meat by bromelain enzyme extracted from pineapple wastes. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci., 7: 3256–3264. <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.709.404>
- Zhang L, Xue L, Wei L, Shen H, Luo Y (2011). Quality predictive models of grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idellus*) at different temperatures during storage. Food Control. 22(8): 1197–1202. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2011.01.017>
- Żochowska-Kujawska J, Lachowicz K, Sobczak M, Nędzarek A, Tórz A (2013). Effects of natural plant tenderizers on proteolysis and texture of dry sausages produced with wild boar meat addition. Afr. J. Biotechnol., 12(38): 5670–5677.