

## Research Article



# Effects of Fish Oil on Growth Performance, Carcass Characteristics, Blood Parameter, and Cost Efficiency of Broiler Chicken

ADITYA CHOWDHURY AVI<sup>1,4\*</sup>, MD TARIQUL ISLAM<sup>1,4</sup>, MD SAIFUL BARI<sup>2,4</sup>, REBEKA SULTANA SWAPNA KHANDOKER<sup>3</sup>, GOUTAM BUDDHA DAS<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Animal Science and Nutrition, Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Chattogram 4225, Bangladesh; <sup>2</sup>Department of Dairy and Poultry Science, Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Chattogram 4225, Bangladesh; <sup>3</sup>Department of Microbiology and Veterinary Public Health, Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Chattogram 4225, Bangladesh; <sup>4</sup>Directorate of Farm, Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Chattogram 4225, Bangladesh.

**Abstract** | Fish oil (FO) is an excellent source of omega-3 fatty acids, which helps broilers gain weight and improve their immunity. The current study was done with special emphasis on establishing the impact of FO on improving the feed-conversion-ratio (FCR) and decreasing the rearing cost of broilers by feeding a commercial broiler-feed. A total of 120 unsexed commercial broilers (Ross-308) were randomly assigned to four treatment groups that contained 1%, 2%, and 3% FO in regular drinking water, as well as a control group. All of the birds were given enough commercial broiler-feed and water. The performance gained by broilers after 30 days of rearing from all supplemented groups complied with the standards. Observed parameters like live weight, feed intake, weight gain, and FCR of broilers were significantly higher in the 2% and 3% FO-supplemented groups. Data for cost-benefit analysis revealed significantly increased net profit in FO-treated groups. However, abdominal fat increased linearly with increasing levels of FO supplementation. The serum HDL level was increased, whereas cholesterol, LDL, and triglyceride levels were significantly decreased with increasing levels of FO in the broiler diets. It was concluded that supplying 2% or 3% would be effective in both increasing market weight and improving the profit of commercial broiler farming.

**Keywords** | Broiler, chicken, Fish oil, Performance, Profit.

**Received** | October 17, 2022; **Accepted** | March 25, 2023; **Published** | May 29, 2023

\***Correspondence** | Aditya Chowdhury Avi, Department of Animal Science and Nutrition, Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Chattogram 4225, Bangladesh; **Email:** aditya\_avi90@yahoo.com

**Citation** | Avi AC, Islam MT, Bari MS, Khandoker RSS, Das GB (2023). Effects of fish oil on growth performance, carcass characteristics, blood parameter, and cost efficiency of broiler chicken. *Adv. Anim. Vet. Sci.* 11(7): 1083-1089.

**DOI** | <https://doi.org/10.17582/journal.aavs/2023/11.7.1083.1089>

**ISSN (Online)** | 2307-8316



**Copyright:** 2023 by the authors. Licensee ResearchersLinks Ltd, England, UK.

This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## INTRODUCTION

Poultry is a substantial contributor to the food supply so it has successfully become a leading industry (Ali and Hossain, 2012). This growing industry is developing the utilization of local ingredients to minimize feed costs with better performance. Feeding poultry with diets that contain fat can counter several economic advantages by providing increased energy levels and fatty acid compo-

sition (Newman et al., 2002). Fish oil contains high levels of omega-3 fatty acids (FA) such as eicosa-pentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosa-hexaenoic acid (DHA), which are highly unsaturated and improve health-related factors (Saleh et al., 2009). FO has a favorable influence on the fatty acid profile by enhancing the lipid content of the meat (Crespo et al., 2002). Dietary FO reduces the levels of total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein, and triglycerides (Crespo, 2003). Therefore, the fatty acid composition of oils used in

poultry rations is reflected in animal products (Scaife et al.,1994).

If diets with similar energy and protein are compared, chickens fed with rations that contain oil showed better performance than birds-fed diets without the inclusion of oil (Sanz et al., 2000; Lopez-Ferrer et al., 2001). Omega-3 FAs play an important role in improving the immunity, performance, and lipid profile of broilers (Jameel, 2014). Broiler meat’s lipid content can be altered by adding linoleic and linolenic acids (Lopez et al., 1999). It is feasible to raise the amounts of long-chain n-3 FA in poultry meat by supplementing their diets with fish meals or oils (Pinchasov and Nir, 1992). Broiler diets supplemented with small amounts of fats and oils have also been found to improve meat consistency and palatability while also stimulating growth (Leeson and Summers, 2001). According to Navidshad (2009), the inclusion of FO in the diet had no negative impact on the animals’ productivity.

Fasting growth with better production and low rearing costs is the main intention of the poultry industry. This research looked into the effectiveness of FO and verifies the level of FO that gives maximum profit in commercial broiler farming. The findings of this study will assist poultry nutritionists in properly rationing FO. The objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of addition fish oil in drinking water of broiler chicken on growth performance, carcass characteristics, blood parameter, and cost efficiency.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Based on a Completely Randomized Design (CRD), 120 unsexed day-old chicks (Ross 308) were distributed in four nutritional treatment groups ( $T_0$ ,  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ , and  $T_3$ ) with three replications for each treatment. All the procedures of this experiment were approved by university ethics committee (CVASU/admin/EC/2017/29). These broiler groups were treated either without FO, 1%, 2%, or 3% FO in their regular drinking water. Each treatment group had 30 birds, whereas each replication had 10 birds. The purchased chicks’ average body weight was 40.5g/bird, with uniform size and no anomalies. Fresh and dust-free FO was supplemented with regular drinking water throughout the experiment. Banana essence was also used to remove the FO’s unpleasant odor. To dissolve oil with water, an emulsifier was used. A considerable amount of broiler drinking water was added to this mixture. The floor space for each bird was 0.17sq.ft (2.38ft x 2.08ft for 20 birds) in a brooding box and 0.57sq.ft (3.5ft x 1.63ft for 10 birds) in the cage. The birds were fed commercially available premade broiler feed from *C.P Bangladesh*® at three different growth stages: starter (day 0 to 12<sup>th</sup>), grower (day 13<sup>th</sup> to 24<sup>th</sup>), and finisher (day 25<sup>th</sup> to 30<sup>th</sup>). Table 1 shows the nutrient com-

position of the used feed. Dry mash feed and water were supplied *ad-libitum* to the birds daily three times. All of the birds had been appropriately vaccinated against Newcastle Disease (ND) and Infectious Bursal Disease (IBD). The observed parameters were live weight, weight gain, feed intake and feed-conversion-ratio (FCR) of broilers at different ages of broilers.

**Table 1:** Estimated chemical composition (DM basis) of commercial broiler feed

Parameter	Starter	Grower	Finisher
Metabolizable Energy (Kcal/kg)	2981	3075	3148
Crude Protein (g/100g)	22.79	21.92	20.64
Crude Fiber (g/100g)	4.07	3.79	3.67
Calcium (g/100g)	0.94	0.87	0.89
Phosphorous (g/100g)	0.68	0.66	0.65
Lysin (g/100g)	1.38	1.29	1.05
DL Methionine (g/100g)	0.53	0.52	0.47

Throughout the trial, data on parameters were recorded at weekly intervals. These data were collected from 5 birds randomly in every replication (10 birds). The blood samples were collected from a total of 24 birds (2 birds from each replication) at the 15<sup>th</sup> and 30<sup>th</sup> days of age after random selection. Serum lipid parameters were determined using standard kits (*BioMereux*, France) and an automatic analyzer (Humalyzer 300, *Merck*®, Germany). The weights of visceral organs were measured after the de-feathering of 24 birds, chosen at random from each replication on day 30. The data were analyzed using the one-way ANOVA test. Statistical significance was defined as a p-value of <0.05, <0.01 or <0.001.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Different parameters were recorded to observe the effect of fish oil on growth and production performance of broilers when basal diet with 1%, 2%, and 3% fish oil or without fish oil in drinking water. Live weights of the experimental birds were recorded weekly basis throughout the whole experimental period. Results indicated that weekly average live weight differed highly significantly ( $p < 0.01$ ) in 2<sup>nd</sup> and significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) at 3<sup>rd</sup> weeks but was insignificant at the 1<sup>st</sup> & 4<sup>th</sup> week of age (Table 2). The highest weekly average live weight (2232.33 g/bird/week) was recorded in the  $T_3$  group and the lowest average live weight 1784.33 g/bird was recorded in the  $T_0$  group in the 4<sup>th</sup> week. The weight gain of the experimental birds revealed that significant levels of variations were not found during the 1<sup>st</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, and 4<sup>th</sup> weeks (Table 2). Considering the data on 2<sup>nd</sup> week, live weight gains differed significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) among the

**Table 2:** Growth parameters of the birds (g/bird/week) supplemented with or without fish oil

Parameter	Age of birds (wk)	Treatment (Mean±SD)				P value
		T <sub>0</sub>	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>	
Live weight	1 <sup>st</sup>	176.63±7.42	194.37±2.30	202.33±3.49	204.73±3.88	0.15
	2 <sup>nd</sup>	585.53±10	656±8.19 <sup>c</sup>	705.67±11.06 <sup>b</sup>	735.53±8.38 <sup>a</sup>	<0.01
	3 <sup>rd</sup>	989.33±12.34	1078.67±13.01 <sup>c</sup>	1182.33±32.13 <sup>b</sup>	1221.33±30.83 <sup>a</sup>	<0.05
	4 <sup>th</sup>	1784.33±36.02	1994.67±42	2121.33±107.75	2232.33±80.65	0.09
Weight gain	1 <sup>st</sup>	136.47±7.13	153.87±1.85	162.13±3.20	164.17±3.64	0.14
	2 <sup>nd</sup>	408.90±2.72	461.63±5.97 <sup>c</sup>	503.33±7.85 <sup>b</sup>	530.80±5.74 <sup>a</sup>	<0.05
	3 <sup>rd</sup>	403.80±5.07	422.67±5.51	476.67±21.46	485.80±22.48	0.13
	4 <sup>th</sup>	795±24.06	916±29	939±76.54	1011±52.85	0.17
Feed intake	1 <sup>st</sup>	166.67±11.55	180±0.01	186.67±2.89	185±5	0.47
	2 <sup>nd</sup>	545±5	575±8.66 <sup>c</sup>	608.33±7.64 <sup>b</sup>	633.33±5.78 <sup>a</sup>	<0.05
	3 <sup>rd</sup>	571.67±10.41	555±8.66	591.67±27.54	590±26.46	0.71
	4 <sup>th</sup>	1226.67±35.12	1240±40	1223.33±100.17	1266.67±68.07	0.76
Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR)	1 <sup>st</sup>	1.22±0.02	1.17±0.01	1.15±0.01	1.12±0.01	0.10
	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1.33±0.01	1.24±0.01	1.20±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	1.19±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	<0.05
	3 <sup>rd</sup>	1.41±0.01	1.31±0.01	1.24±0.01 <sup>ab</sup>	1.21±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	<0.01
	4 <sup>th</sup>	1.54±0.01	1.35±0.01 <sup>c</sup>	1.30±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	1.25±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	<0.001
	0>4 <sup>th</sup>	1.38±0.01	1.27±0.01 <sup>c</sup>	1.22±0.01 <sup>ab</sup>	1.19±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	<0.001

[T<sub>0</sub> = water without fish oil; T<sub>1</sub> = water containing 1% fish oil; T<sub>2</sub> = 2% fish oil; T<sub>3</sub> = 3% fish oil; SD=Standard Deviation; a, b and c = Means having different superscript in the same row differ significantly.]

**Table 3:** Serum parameters of the experimental broiler birds fed water supplemented with or without fish oil at 15<sup>th</sup> and 30<sup>th</sup> day of age

Parameter	Days	Treatment (Mean±SD)				P value
		T <sub>0</sub>	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>	
Cholesterol (mg/dl)	15 <sup>th</sup>	152.03±2.30	145±2.62	134±3.08 <sup>b</sup>	127.16±1.98 <sup>a</sup>	<0.01
	30 <sup>th</sup>	130.1±3.01	123.96±2.06	116.63±2.30 <sup>b</sup>	110±2.28 <sup>a</sup>	<0.05
HDL (mg/dl)	15 <sup>th</sup>	39.10±1.17	43.36±1.10 <sup>c</sup>	45.83±1.80 <sup>b</sup>	51.33±2.02 <sup>a</sup>	<0.05
	30 <sup>th</sup>	37.10±1.51	42.43±0.65	48.13±1.72 <sup>ab</sup>	53.50±1.44 <sup>a</sup>	<0.01
LDL (mg/dl)	15 <sup>th</sup>	76.10±1.74	70.23±1.51	68.66±1.72	66.16±1.48	0.13
	30 <sup>th</sup>	85.83±3.68	74.83±1.93	69.26±1.12 <sup>a</sup>	65.33±2.50	<0.05
TG(mg/dl)	15 <sup>th</sup>	97.40±0.70	93±1.60	86.06±3.17 <sup>b</sup>	76.23±1.45 <sup>a</sup>	<0.05
	30 <sup>th</sup>	56.23±2.17	52.46±0.70	49.06±1.15	44.76±2.24	0.19

[T<sub>0</sub> = water without fish oil; T<sub>1</sub> = water containing 1% fish oil; T<sub>2</sub> = 2% fish oil; T<sub>3</sub> = 3% fish oil; SD=Standard Deviation; a, b and c = Means having different superscript in the same row differ significantly.]

treatment groups. In terms of weight gain, the T<sub>3</sub> group performed better than other groups and finally, highest average weight gain (1011g/bird/week) was found in the T<sub>3</sub> group. It was observed that the weight gain of the T<sub>0</sub> group was lowest at 4<sup>th</sup> week of age. Weekly average live weight differed highly significantly in the 2<sup>nd</sup> and significantly in the 3<sup>rd</sup> week. Weight gains differed significantly in 2<sup>nd</sup> week. However, the highest result in the T<sub>3</sub> group and the

lowest in the T<sub>0</sub> group agree with the results reported by Jameel (2013), Al-Zuhairy and Alasadi (2013), and Sahib (2013). But disagree with the result of Hulan et al. (1988). Navidshad (2009) showed that 2 and 4% FO in the diets of broilers decreased weight gain. The results of increasing weight gain with the addition of omega-3 PUFAs like FO in the diet of broilers are in agreement with many previous findings (Jameel and Sahib, 2014; Al-Zuhairy and Jameel,

Similar to weight gain, feed intake differed significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) in 2<sup>nd</sup> week within all the water treatment groups. At the 1<sup>st</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, and 4<sup>th</sup> weeks of age feed intake of birds there was no significant difference. The highest feed intake (1266.67 g/bird/week) was recorded in the T<sub>3</sub> group and the lowest feed intake (1226.67 g/bird/week) was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> group at the 4<sup>th</sup> week of age. These results agreed with the results of Mansoub (2011) and Das et al. (2014a). Navidshad (2009) mentioned that FO (2 and 4%) did not affect feed intake, But Alparslan and Özdoğan (2006) reported that 2 and 4% FO results in lower feed intake in the final period. FO-containing diet to broilers caused lower feed intake (Hulan et al., 1988; Huang et al., 1990; Azar et al., 2008).

FCR of the experimental birds varied regularly during the entire experimental period. It was revealed that, FCR differed significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) at the 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, and 4<sup>th</sup> week of age within the treatment group. FCR improved gradually at the 3<sup>rd</sup> & 4<sup>th</sup> week of age and varied in highly significant ( $p < 0.01$ ) & ( $p < 0.001$ ) at the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> week respectively. It was observed that, the highest FCR (1.38) was recorded T<sub>0</sub> group and the lowest FCR (1.19) was recorded in the T<sub>3</sub> group considering the 4<sup>th</sup> week of the trial period. The final FCR (0 to 4<sup>th</sup> week) also improved in high significantly ( $p < 0.001$ ). Similar findings of better FCR were recorded by (Azar et al., 2008; Saleh et al., 2009; Das et al., 2014a). There are several discrepancies between the current findings with certain authors' findings (Pesti et al., 2002; Abas et al., 2004; Navidshad, 2009). FCR of broilers fed fish oil differed substantially.

Cholesterol levels (mg/dl) differed significantly on the 15<sup>th</sup> day ( $p < 0.01$ ) and 30<sup>th</sup> day of age ( $p < 0.05$ ). The highest average value of serum cholesterol (152.03) was recorded in the T<sub>0</sub> group on the 15<sup>th</sup> day whereas the lowest value (110) was found in the T<sub>3</sub> group on 30<sup>th</sup> day during the experimental period. The lower cholesterol and triglyceride level in the FO-treated group was also consistent with other investigations (Saleh et al., 2009; Mansoub and Bahrami, 2011; Das et al., 2014b). However, Alparslan and Özdoğan (2006) found no discernible effect on serum cholesterol levels by using dietary FO. This difference may be attributed to genetic, sex and dietary factors. Decreased cholesterol and triglyceride content in serum can result in the presence of PUFAs or conversion from precursors by the de-novo synthesis in the liver and tissue.

Serum HDL (High-density lipoprotein) level (mg/dl) also differed significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) on the 15<sup>th</sup> & high significantly ( $p < 0.01$ ) on the 30<sup>th</sup> day of age. The highest average value of serum HDL (53.50 mg/dl) was recorded in the T<sub>3</sub>

group on the 30<sup>th</sup> day whereas the lowest value (37.10 mg/dl) was found in the T<sub>0</sub> group on the 30<sup>th</sup> day during the experimental period (Table 3). T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> group recorded good results. Low-density lipoprotein (LDL) level (mg/dl) differed significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) on the 30<sup>th</sup> day although it was statistically similar ( $p > 0.05$ ) on the 15<sup>th</sup> day. The highest average value of serum LDL (85.83 mg/dl) was in the T<sub>0</sub> group whereas the lowest LDL value (65.33 mg/dl) was in T<sub>3</sub> at the 30<sup>th</sup> day of age during the experimental period. Triglyceride levels differed significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) on the 15<sup>th</sup> day of age but did not differ significantly on the 30<sup>th</sup> day of age (Table 3). The maximum average TG level (97.40 mg/dl) was found in the T<sub>0</sub> group on the 15<sup>th</sup> day; whereas the minimum level of TG (44.76 mg/dl) was found in the T<sub>3</sub> group on the 30<sup>th</sup> day. The trend of increased HDL and a lower LDL level of broilers with soybean and fish oil groups compared to palm oil was also recorded by Das et al. (2014b). The inclusion of oil in a low-energy diet caused an increased HDL level in the serum of broilers (Monfaredi et al., 2011). Omega-3 fatty acids reduce blood VLDL levels, acting to lower the circulating free LDL concentration.

The carcass parameters significantly differed ( $p < 0.05$ ) in terms of the neck, head, and abdominal fat weight at 30 days. Highly significance was also observed in the liver, spleen ( $p < 0.01$ ), and gizzard ( $p < 0.001$ ). However, though other parameters (dressing percentage, drumstick, wing, thigh, heart, proventriculus, breast, and back weight) differed numerically they did not differ significantly amongst dietary treatments. Other carcass parameters were statistically similar ( $p > 0.05$ ) throughout the entire experimental period (Table 4). Highly significant also observed in the liver, spleen, and gizzard. The improved dressing percentage of FO-treated broiler did not agree with Navidshad (2009). However, Huang et al. (2006) observed that when body weight improved, edible meat yields increased. Increased breast meat by adding FO acquiesces in Farhoomand and Checaniazar (2009). A significant difference in the head, and neck weight and lower abdominal fat with a large spleen of FO-treated broilers was also revealed by Checaniazaret al. (2007). FO can affect abdominal fat which assents to Beckford et al. (2017) and Panda et al. (2017). But Navidshad (2009) elicited that FO had no superiority in abdominal fat pad reduction. On the other hand, highly significant changes in the liver, spleen, and gizzard were also revealed by Paddy et al. (2005). Overall, there was a good carcass characteristic in the 2 and 3% fish oil supplementations.

The cost-benefit analysis of the total experiment was done which shows us that the net profit differed significantly ( $p < 0.001$ ). The highest net profit of 37.91 BDT (Bangladesh Taka) per broiler was found in the T<sub>3</sub> group and

**Table 4:** Carcass characteristics of the experimental birds fed water supplemented with or without fish oil at 30<sup>th</sup> day of age

Parameter (%)	Treatment (Mean±SD)				P value
	T <sub>0</sub>	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>	
Dressing	63.86±0.87	64.35±1.15	65.60±1	66.33±2.08	0.22
Drumstick	12.43±0.85	12.16±0.31	16.38±0.61	18.35±0.58	0.12
Neck	2.48±0.02	2.65±0.03	2.8±0.04 <sup>b</sup>	2.99±0.08 <sup>a</sup>	<0.05
Head	2.51±0.03	2.64±0.02 <sup>c</sup>	2.82±0.04 <sup>b</sup>	2.98±0.05 <sup>a</sup>	<0.05
Wing	5.81±0.11	6.48±0.34	6.98±0.26	7.2±0.36	0.17
Breast wt	19.90±0.14	21.08±0.30	21.91±0.17	22.85±0.40	0.09
Thigh	15.63±0.41	18.06±0.47	21.76±0.42	24.43±0.85	0.10
Back wt	10.48±0.27	10.90±0.02	11.33±0.27	11.76±0.20	0.06
Abdominal fat	2.87±0.02	2.89±0.03	2.94±0.13 <sup>a</sup>	2.95±0.3 <sup>b</sup>	0.06
Heart	0.57±0.01	0.62±0.01	0.68±0.02	0.73±0.02	0.07
Liver	2.21±0.01	2.44±0.02	2.62±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	2.76±0.02 <sup>b</sup>	<0.01
Gizzard	2.03±0.06	2.23±0.02 <sup>c</sup>	2.46±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	2.60±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	<0.001
Proventriculus	0.48±0.01	0.54±0.01	0.57±0.01	0.60±0.01	0.09
Spleen	1.56±0.02	1.64±0.01 <sup>c</sup>	1.74±0.02 <sup>b</sup>	1.89±0.02 <sup>a</sup>	<0.01

**Table 5:** Cost-benefit analysis (in BDT) of broiler fed water supplemented with or without FO

Parameter	Treatment (Mean±SD)				P Value
	T <sub>0</sub>	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>	
Chick cost	41	41	41	41	
FO, essence cost (kg)	0	11.1	11.8	12.4	
Live weight (kg)	1.78±0.04	1.99±0.04	2.12±0.01	2.23±0.08	0.10
FCR (0-4 <sup>th</sup> )wk	1.38±0.01	1.27±0.01 <sup>c</sup>	1.22±0.01 <sup>ab</sup>	1.19±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	<0.001
Total feed cost (kg)	45	45	45	45	
Total feed cost (bird)	113±3	115.3±2.08	118±6.55	120.66±4.5	0.52
Management and other cost	65	65	65	65	
Total cost (bird)	219±3	232.43±2.08	235.8±6.55	239.06±4.50	0.18
Market selling price (kg)	145	145	145	145	
Total selling price (bird)	258.58±5.09	289.03±6.53	307±16.14	323.83±11.63	0.10
Net profit (bird)	39.56±2.11	56.6±4.63 <sup>c</sup>	71.6±9.59 <sup>ab</sup>	84.76±7.12 <sup>a</sup>	<0.05
Net profit (kg)	22.18±0.74	28.37±1.68 <sup>c</sup>	33.67±2.8 <sup>ab</sup>	37.91±1.83 <sup>a</sup>	<0.05

[T<sub>0</sub> = water without fish oil; T<sub>1</sub> = water containing 1% fish oil; T<sub>2</sub> = water containing 2% fish oil; T<sub>3</sub> = water containing 3% fish oil; a, b and c = Means having different superscript in the same row differ significantly.]

N.B. Management and other cost included vaccine, medicine, labor and electricity cost. The total cost included total feed cost, fish oil, the essence of banana, chick, management and other costs. 1\$ = 78 BDT (approx.)

the lowest net profit of BDT 22.18 was found in the T<sub>0</sub> group (Table 5). The cost-benefit analysis of adding fish oil was evaluated with the aim of suggesting the findings of this experiment for usage under producer conditions. The highest net profit per bird was found in the T<sub>3</sub> group. The FO-enhanced group also had satisfactory accuracy as well. Similar results have been found by [Alparslan and Ozdogan](#)

(2006), who found that the 2% FO group had the largest gross margin among the treatment groups.

## CONCLUSIONS

It is identified that adding 2% or 3% FO to broiler drinking water improves live weight and FCR in commercial

broilers more than in the control. These groups likewise demonstrated improved carcass features, with considerably higher HDL and lower cholesterol, LDL, and triglyceride levels in the blood. So it may be excellent news for meat lovers. It can be determined that using 2% or 3% FO in regular drinking water can help broiler farmers increase market weight and profitability. Broiler meat with more than 2% FO can have a fishy smell, however, this varies. To make an economically beneficial ration, it may be recommended that 2% FO be added to the regular drinking water of broilers.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the Department of Physiology and Biochemistry, CVASU for their help to facilities using of Haematological Analyzer.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

All authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

## NOVELTY STATEMENT

The study was to assess the comparative effectiveness of three different treatments of fish oil on broiler production, carcass quality, and blood parameters. This study examined the amount of fish oil that produces the highest yields in commercial broiler farming. The result of the study will support the commercial use of fish oil in poultry diets. The study on fish oil treatments that make commercial broiler farming economically viable sets it apart from other types of studies.

## AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION

All authors contributed equally.

## REFERENCES

- Abas I, Ozpinar H, Kahraman R (2004). Effect of dietary fat sources and their levels on performance of broilers. *Archiv Fur Geflugelkunde*.68:145-152.
- Ali AbdSahamAM (2009). Effect of fish oil on humoral immunity of broiler chicks. *Basrah J. Vet. Res.* 8(2): 23-24. <https://doi.org/10.33762/bvetr.2009.56864>
- Ali MM, Hossain MM (2012). Problems and prospects of poultry industry in Bangladesh: an analysis. *AIUB Bus Econ working paper series*.p:1-18.
- Alparslan G, Özdoğan M (2006). The effects of diet containing fish oil on some blood parameters and the performance values of broilers and cost efficiency. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.*5(5): 415-419. <https://doi.org/10.3923/ijps.2006.415.419>
- Al-Zuhairy MA, Alasadi YJ (2013). Effect of in ovo injection with ND vaccine, multivitamins AD<sub>3</sub>E, and omega-3 on performance and immune response of broiler. *Int. J. Adv. Biolog. Res.* 3(2): 208-211.
- Al-Zuhairy MA, Jameel YJ (2014). Effect of ND vaccine, multivitamins AD<sub>3</sub>E, and omega-3 on performance and immune response of broilers. *Mirror Res. Vet. Sci. Anim.*3(1): 42-50.
- Azar SC, Mansoub NH, Bahrami Y, Ahadi F, Lotfi A (2008). Dietary fish oil improves performance and carcass characterizes of broilers immunized with sheep erythrocytes. *Int. J. Acad. Res.* 2(5): 39-46.
- Beckford RC, Howard SJ, Das S, Farmer AT, Campagna SR, Yu J, Hettich RL, Wilson JL, Voy BH (2017). Maternal consumption of fish oil programs reduced adiposity in broiler chicks. *Scient. Rep.*7(1): 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-13519-5>
- Chekaniazar S, Maherisis N, Shahriar HA, Ahmadzadeh A (2007). Poultry fat on performance and parts of carcass on male broiler chicks. *J. Anim. Vet. Adv.* 6(12): 1405-1408.
- Crespo N, Esteve-Garcia E (2002). Nutrient and fatty acid deposition in broilers fed different fatty acid profiles. *Poult. Sci.* 81(10): 1533-1542. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ps/81.10.1533>
- Crespo N, Esteve-Garcia E (2003). Polyunsaturated fatty acids reduce insulin and very low density lipoprotein levels in broiler chickens. *Poult. Sci.* 82(7): 1134-1139. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ps/82.7.1134>
- Das GB, Ahad A, Hossain M, Akbar M, Akther S, Mahmood A (2014b). Effect of different oil supplements on humoral immune response and lipid profile in commercial broiler. *Pakistan Vet. J.* 34(2): 229-233.
- Das GB, Hossain ME, Akbar MA (2014a). Performance and economic feasibility of using soybean oil, palm oil and fish oil in broiler diet. *Iranian J. Appl. Anim. Sci.* 4(4): 827-833.
- Farhoomand P, Chekaniazer S (2009). Effects of graded levels of dietary fish oil on the yield and fatty acid composition of breast meat in broiler chickens. *J. Appl. Poult. Res.* 18(3): 508-513. <https://doi.org/10.3382/japr.2008-00137>
- Huang JF, Huang CC, Lai MK, Lin JH, Lee CH, Wang TY (2006). Effects of dietary fish oil on the contents of eicosapentaenoic acid and docosahexaenoic acid and sensory evaluation of the breast meat in mule ducks. *Asian-Australasian J. Anim. Sci.*19(2): 231-235. <https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.2006.231>
- Huang Z, Leibovitz H, Lee CM, Millar R (1990). Effect of dietary fish oil on omega-3 fatty acid levels in chicken eggs and thigh flesh. *J. Agricult. Food Chem.* 38(3):743-747. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf00093a034>
- Hulan HW, Proudfoot FG, Ackman RG, Ratnayake WMN (1988). Omega-3 fatty acid levels and performance of broilers chickens fed redfish meal or redfish oil. *Canadian J. Anim. Sci.* 68(2):533-547. <https://doi.org/10.4141/cjas88-059>
- Jameel YJ, Sahib AM (2014). Study of some blood parameters of broilers fed on ration containing fish oil. *Journal of Biology, Agric. Healthcare.* 4(7): 67-71.
- Jameel YJ (2013). The effect of in-ovo injection with Newcastle disease vaccine, multivitamins AD<sub>3</sub>E and omega-3 oil on subsequence productive performance and some physiological parameters of broiler chicks. *Doctoral dissertation, PhD paper, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Baghdad. Iraq.*
- Jameel YJ (2014). Effect of the content of fish oil, L- carnitine and their combination in diet on immune response and some blood parameters of broilers. *Int. J. Sci. Nat.* 5(3): 501-504.

- Leeson S, Summers JD (2001). Scotts nutrition of the chicken. 4th ed. Guelph: University Books, Ontario, Canada. p: 601.
- Lopez-Ferrer S, Baucells MD, Barroeta AC, Grashorn MA (1999). N-3 enrichment of chicken meat using fish oil: alternative substitution with rapeseed and linseed oils. *Poult. Sci.* 78(3): 356-365. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ps/78.3.356>
- Mansoub NH, Bahrami Y (2011). Influence of dietary fish oil supplementation on humoral immune response and some selected biochemical parameters of broiler chickens. *J. Agrobiol.* 28(1): 67-77. <https://doi.org/10.2478/v10146-011-0008-5>
- Mansoub NH (2011). Effect of fish oil Fed a Low-protein Diet on performance, carcass characterizes and blood indices in Broiler chicks. *Ann. Biolog. Res. J.* 2(3): 113-120.
- Monfaredi A, Rezaei M, Sayyahzadeh H (2011). Effect of supplemental fat in low energy diets on some blood parameters and carcass characteristics of broiler chicks. *South African J. Anim. Sci.* 41 (1): 24-41. <https://doi.org/10.4314/sajas.v41i1.66036>
- Navidshad BA (2009). The Effects of Fish oil on growth performance and carcass characteristics of broiler chicks fed a low-protein diet. *Int. J. Agricult. Biol.* 11(5): 635-638.
- Newman RE, Bryden WL, Fleck E, Ashes JR, Buttemer WA, Storlien LH, Downing JA (2002). Dietary n-3 and n-6 fatty acids alter avian metabolism: metabolism and abdominal fat deposition. *Brit. J. Nutr.* 88(1): 11-18. <https://doi.org/10.1079/BJN2002580>
- Paddy L, Wiesenfeld US, BabuRichard B, Raybourne, Gaines D, Michael O, Donnell JR, Myers MJ (2005). Center for food safety and applied nutrition, food and administration, effect of dietary fish-meal on chicken serum, liver and spleen fatty acid metabolism. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.* 4(10): 728-733. <https://doi.org/10.3923/ijps.2005.728.733>
- Panda AK, Sridhar K, Lavanya G, Prakash B, Rao SVR, Raju MVLN (2017). Effects of dietary incorporation of fish oil on performance, carcass characteristics, meat fatty acid profile and sensory attributes of meat in broiler chickens. *Anim. Nutr. Feed Technol.* 16(3): 417-425. <https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-181X.2016.00037.8>
- Pesti GM, Bakalli RI, Qiao M, Sterling KG (2002). A comparison of eight grades of fat as broiler feed ingredients. *Poult. Sci.* 81(3): 382-390. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ps/81.3.382>
- Pinchasov Y, Nir I (1992). Effect of dietary polyunsaturated fatty acid concentration on performance, fat deposition and carcass fatty acid composition in broiler chickens. *Poult. Sci.* 71(9): 1504-1512. <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.0711504>
- Sahib AM (2013). Effect of ration supplementation with different levels of fish oil and flaxseed oil on productive traits in broilers. Doctoral dissertation, M.Sc. Thesis, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Baghdad, Iraq.
- Saleh H, Rahimi SH, Karimi TM (2009). The effect of diet that contained fish oil on performance, serum parameters, the immune system and the fatty acid composition of meat in broilers. *Iranian J. Vet. Med.* 3(2): 69-75.
- Sanz M, Flores A, Lopez-Bote CJ (2000). The metabolic use of energy from dietary fat in broilers is affected by fatty acid saturation. *Brit. Poult. Sci.* 41(1): 61-68. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00071660086411>
- Scaife JR, Moyo J, Galbraith H, Michie W, Campbell V (1994). Effect of different dietary supplemental fats and oils on the tissue fatty acid composition and growth of female broilers. *Brit. Poult. Sci.* 35(1): 107-118. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00071669408417675>